# SPIRIT

OF THE

### ECCLESIASTICKS

Of all Sects and Ages,

As to the

## Doctrines of Morality,

And more particularly the Spirit of the Ancient Fathers of the Church,

#### EXAMIN'D,

By Monf. BARBETRAC, Professor of Laws and History in the University of Laufanne.

Translated from the French by a GENTLEMAN of Gray's-Inn.

#### WITHA

PREFACE by the Author of the Independent-Whig.

LONDON: Printed for J. Peele at Locke's Head in Pater noster Row. 1722. Price One Shilling.

CALL IS

# FIRIT

THITO

# FOCLESIASTICKS Of all Sods and Ages,

orly or a



well to take of the University of the State of the State

For Sand Jage the Esonobly & Generales Med Gray's Inn.

AHTIV

PRESEACE by the Anchoroof the Anchoroft the Anchoroft the Anchoroft Hilling.

· LOADON: Pfinsed for J. Rele at Locke's Blad



MARKET ARTEST

#### not value themselves Ja Hur up at meer Specif and

# PREFACE.

HE following Papers are a Translation of Part of Mr. Barbeyrac's Preface to his Translation of Puffendorf's Treatile of the Law of Nature and Nations, Theorem.

French, and fully shew, in a small Compass, the Spirit and Parts of the Futbers of the Primitive Church, so much extelled, and so tittle deserving it.

The Reading of the Fathers, and an Acquaintance with the Fathers, has made a great Noise in the World, as a momentous Study, entitling the Proficients in it to a high Character, and the Reputation of Learning. Few People had Leisure to read them, and sewer would take the Pains, and now I think most Men agree that the Pains are not worth taking; and he who employs his Time that Way, whatever Industry he may have, is neither envied for his taste, nor admir'd for his Acquirements, unless by those whose Applause Men of Genius are not fond of. There is not much Glory to be got in an Employment where, to excel in it, nothing is required but great Drudgery, eminent Patience, and no Taste, or

a prong one. A Clown may exult and swagger, because be is an accomplish'd Ploughman; but I would rather he should have the Renown than I; though a good Ploughman is a good Character in a Country, and in Some Instances, a drudging Pedant, who is the Ploughman in the Learned World, is likewife a ufeful Charafter. It might be, however, wish'd, that they would preferve the Distance and Humility of Ploughmen, and not value themselves so much upon meer Sweat and

Digging.

S PLONE

As to the Fathers, there is fo little to be learn'd from them, that they who know much of them, are only effeem'd by such as know little of any Thing. Nor was there ever any Thing more insolent and disbonest than to refer us for the Knowledge of the Scriptures to the Fathers, who were so very ignorant of them, that they almost constantly understood them in every Senfe, but the true Senfe. They have fuch an Appetite for Vision. Mystery, and Obscurity, that in the plainest Texts they find Difficulty, Darkness, Allusion, and Enigma's; and explain obvious Passages just as they do doubtful ones, by far fetch'd and mysterious Gueffes, and Meanings, which contradict common Senfe, and which none that had it would have thought of. A plain and natural Meaning which every Body could fee, would not serve their Turn, but they must extort a Meaning and so have the Glory of the Discovery; and their Thoughts like their Language were forc'd and bombaft. And to thefe Men, who made the Word of God of none effect, by darkning bis plainest Precepts with false Glosses and Figures, we are sent for In-Arustion in that Word.

Whoever bas seen Solomon's Temple Allegoriz'd by John Bunyan, may find there a Specimen of the Sagacity and Abilities of the Fathers in explaining of . Scripture. Dudgery endeent Patience, and no Taffe, or

Scripture. According to John there was not a Nail in that Temple, but had its Typical Purpole, and every Bason, and Pair of Tongs prefigur'd some great Myltery to come; and, in Short, every Stone and every Tool in the Temple prophesied. And in all this the poor pious linker did but tread in the Steps of the Fathers, without knowing it. As he bad much more bonefly, and a more quiet and beneficent Spirit than any of them; so be bad as much Invention and was full as equal to the Bufiness of Allegory as the best of them. and his Fancy was not more beated than theirs; and whoever reads his Pilgrims Progress need only suppose himself reading one of the brightest Fathers in English; and be will make them no ill Complement; for his Imagination, which was a very good one, was really more regular and correct than theirs. I have often thought the Rosicrucians a Sort of Modern Fathers; only they are more Jublime in their Reveries : They deal alike in the same Puffry, false Rhetorick, and their Imaginations are alike inflam'd and extravagant.

It is irrational and impious to suppose that Almighty God, the good, the merciful God, would give to his Creatures Instructions, Commands, and Advices, which were puzzling, obscure, or uncertain, when their Eternal Salvation was depending upon their conceiving and applying them aright. And yet these Fathers suppose all this, in fetching from his Word Inserences and Meanings, which upon reading it seem as different from it as any one Language is from another. It is but Justice to the Omnipotent Being to believe that he speaks candidly and intelligibly to his Creatures, and to all his Creatures, whenever he speaks to them at all: But this Justice the Fathers deny him, when they make him thus say one Thing, and mean ano-

ther.

and the contract entire

d

of

And no more is it to be supposed, that the Father of Mercies would cruelly impose upon us, an impossible. Thing for a Duty; I mean that of agreeing with the Fathers, who never agreed with one another, nor indeed with themselves. No People upon the Earth, ever differ'd more (no, not their Successors) nor proceeded to greater Fury and Bitterness in their Differences. They were constantly quarrelling about the smallest as well as the greatest Points; and for the smallest as well as for the greatest, they damn'd one another. It is to be bop'd, we are not to learn our Religion from those who wanted Charity; nor our Charity and Meekness from Men that were perpetually quarrelling, and cursing each other.

They indeed contradicted the first Principles of the Gospel, by turning Meekness, Humility, and Self-denial into Pride, Riches and Domination; and claim'd all Things, by Vertue of a Gofpel that gave them Nothing. Are these Patterns, for such as would renounce the World, the Flesh, and the Devil, and live Sober, Righteous, and Godly in the World? Does their Sainting of Villains and Affaffines as fometimes they did, entitle them to the Character and Reverence of Saints? Does their eternal Contention and Contradiction, qualify them for the Center of Unity? Is their turbulent Spirit, and their wild Want of common Senfe; their ravenous Avarice, and flaming Ambition; their Fury and Fighting, their frequent Change of Opinion, their Apollacy and Murders; I fay are all thefe, or any of them, proper Marks of the Guides of God's People? And that thefe Marks belong to many of the Fathers, and all of them to some, is too manifest. The following Sheets will prove that they do : Indeed, their own Writings, and all Ecclefiaffical History, do little elfe but prove it.

We have often heard the Dissenters charg'd with Fanaticism, and their best Writers have been call'd Fana-

ticks, by Men who reverenc'd much greater Fanaticks, whilft they reverenc'd the Fathers, who far out went in Fanaticism, even the wildest Sectaries that appear'd in England, during the late long civil War; nor were the Ranters, Sweet-Singers, Muggletonians, Fifth Monarchy Men, or any of them all, more stark mad with Enthusiasm, than the Fathers were; who, besides the Turbulency of their Behaviour, by which they brought many and heavy Evils and Persecutions upon the primitive Christians, asserted Principles utterly irreconcileable to buman Society, as well as to Religion and Reason. Jacob Behmen was not a greater Vi-

sionary, nor vended more devout Dreams.

I thank God, we can under fland the Scriptures without the voluminous and contradictory Ravings and Declamations of the Fathers, who have equally perverted the Religion of Jesus, and the Religion of Nature; both which are clear enough to those that will see them. and do mutually confirm each other. There is as much Difference, and indeed Opposition, between the New Testament, and the Writings of the Fathers, as there is betwixt the Pentateuch and the Talmud; which, by its Fables, Forgeries, and wild Inventions, has mangled, darken'd, and perverted the short and plain History of Moses; nor are the Dreams, Fables, and Absurdities of the Fathers more sacred, or less glaring and extravagant, than those of the Rabbies. Never were fuch ridiculous Commentators upon Texts; and where a Child that could but read, would not have mis'd their Meaning, the Fathers have miss'd it. so far from understanding, applying, explaining or improving the amiable and evident Moral of the Gospel, that whoever wou'd look for it in a Place where he is sure not to find it, need only read the Fathers; and I sould think very meanly of our Country Curates, if most of them cou'd not compose Systems of Divinity, more Rational and Scriptural than any of the Fathers ever compos'd. Thus

Thus much I thought proper to say here concerning the Fathers, by way of Preface to the following Papers. Whoever wou'd see more elsewhere, may read the learn'd Dr. Whitby's late Latin Treatise entitl'd Disquisitiones Modestr, and Mr. Marvel's short History of Councils, and Daille of the Use of the Fathers.

I am

The Reader's most bumble Servant,

The Independent Whig.



ou Rational and Suretimal than one of

HE

It is certain, that a M



## THE

## HISTORY

OF

## MORALITY.

WO Sorts of People ought, in a particular Manner, to apply themselves to Morality, viz. The Public Ministers of Religion, and the Learned, or those who profess to cultivate their Minds by the Study of the Sciences. The one and the other are equally obliged to inform themselves upon this Head and to instruct the Ignorant as much as lies in their Power; but the Obligation of the first is more binding and indispensable, than that of the last.

It is certain, that a MORALITY is the Daughter of RELIGION, that she keeps even Pace with ber, and that the Perfection of Morality is the Measure of the Perfection of Religion. A great Emperor and Pagan Philosopher has own d this: b " Thou wilt " never, faid he, do any thing purely Human in " a right manner, unless thou knowest the Rela-" tion it bears to Things Divine; nor any Thing " Divine, unless thou knowest all the Ties it has " to Things Human." In effect, the fundamental Principles of Natural Religion, which ought to be the Basis of all Religions, are the most firm, or rather the only Foundation of the Science of Morality. Without the Divinity, Duty, Obligation, Right, are, to speak the Truth, but fine Ideas, which may please the Mind, but will never touch the Heart; and which, in themselves considered, can never lay us under an indispensable Necessity of acting or not acting, after a certain Manner. The Ideas of Order, Agreeableness, and Conformity to Reason, have, without Doubt, something real; they are founded on the Nature of Things, upon certain, most true Relations: Even such as don't discover them distinctly, and in all their Extent, have a confused Notion of them: Our Minds are formed after such a Manner, that they can't help affenting to them as foon as propos'd; and tis thus that the Honeftum has in all Times made an Impression upon Men of the most unciviliz'd

a Mr. and Mad. Dacier's Preface to the Moral Reflections of Mark Antony, Pag 2.

See Barbeyrac's Notes on Puffend. 1. 2. 6. 3. Par. 19. Not.

2. and c. 4. Par. 3. Not. 4.

h Outs 28 aifeginiror Ti avet & em Tal Baa ouravagogas si aga'ges ere euwani. Marc. Antonin. 1. 3. Par. 13. Ed. Gatakeri, & Par. 12. In Mr. Dacier's Verfion.

civilized Nations. But, to give these Ideas all the Force they are capable of, to make them able to keep their Ground against the Passions, and private Interests; it is necessary there should be a fuperior Being, a Being more powerful than we are, which may compel us to conform ourfelves to them invariably in our Conduct, that may bind us fo, that it may not be in our Power to difengage ourselves at Pleasure; in a Word, that may lay us under an Obligation, properly so called, to follow the Light of our own Reason. This Fear of a Divinity, that punishes Vice, and rewards Virtue, has fo great an Efficacy, that although the fundamental Principles of Religion should be obscured by the Mixture of Error and Superstition, provided they are not entirely corrupted and effaced, it does not fail to act up to a certain Point. The more pure, and the better supported these Principles are, the more they ferve to strengthen the Foundations of Morality, and to push the Rules of it in all their Confequences. But compose the finest System in the World, if Religion stand for nothing in it, it will be, if I may so speak, but a Speculative Morality, you will build on the Sand. This being the Case, it was natural, that the Public Ministers of Religion should make Morality their principal Study, in order to conform themselves to it in all their Steps, and to give the People just Ideas, capable of producing folid Virtue. But they have been far enough from doing all that they ought, and might have done in this Respect. Among the Heathens, the Divines, the Sooth fayers, and the Priests, who declar'd the heavenly Oracles, and called themselves the Interpreters of the Will of the Gods, never gave themselves the Trouble to teach Men the Rules of Virtue. And it must be confess'd, that Les-B 2

17

fons of found Morality, in their Mouths, would have been very ill match'd with the frightful Ideas they gave of the Divinity, and the Weaknesses, Imperfections, or even Vices, which they attributed to him by a strange Subversion of all the Lights of Reason. Accordingly we see, that the ancient Doctors of Christianity have very livelily reproached the Pagans with this unlawful Divorce of Religion from Morality. d Those who teach the Worship of the Gods, Says Lactantius, take no. Notice of any Thing that may serve to regulate Manners, and the Conduct of Life: They do not in the least search after Truth, but apply themselves only to learn the Ceremonies of Divine Worship, which requires only the Ministry of the Body, and in which the Sentiments of the Heart bave no Part. . The Pagan Philosophy and Religion, are two Things quite distinct one from the other. Morality has its particular Doctors, who do not teach the Manner of approaching the Gods: And Religion has likewise its Ministers, who do not teach the Rules of Morality. From whence it appears, that there is no true Morality, nor any true Religion, In Reality, as Mr. Bayle has observed, f "It would be very difficult to prove, That the Pa-" gan Priests required any thing besides the Outfide of Piety, That they press'd Amendment of "Life, and taught, That without a fincere and " lafting Repentance of the Irregularities of the " Heart,

See Id. Ibid. num. 4. See St. Augustin de Civirat. Dei, 1. 2.

mores excolendos, vitâmque formandam, nec habet inquibtionem aliguam Veritatis, sed tantum modo ictum colendi, qui non officio mentis, sed ministerio Corporis constat. Instit. Divin. l. 4. c. 3. num. 1, 2. Ed. Cellar.

Mr. Lock's Reasonableness of Christianity, p. 305.

Heart, Vows, Offerings, Processions, Sacrifices, "Ordinary or Extraordinary Sacrifices, could ne-" ver appeale the Anger of the Gods .-- It is " much more easy to prove, that they left the World in this convenient Delufion, that it was " fufficient to be bountiful towards the Gods, and "to follow the Formulary of Rites and Cere-"monies. The Satyr of Perfeus may convince us " of this, where he thunders against those who "make a Bank of Religion: And immediately " after calls upon the Priests to declare, what can " be the Efficacy of Gold in Holy Things. I define to know of you, ye Priests, of what Service is this Gold, which is in the boly Places? Of just the Same Service as the Puppets, which young Girls offer to Venus, are to her. Why should not we offer to the Gods something which neither the Cotta's, nor the Messala's, with all their magnificent Basons fill'd with the Flesh of their most exquisite Victims, can present them with? Why should not we fer to them an upright, fincere, generous, Soul, pierced with the most lively Sentiments of Justice and Honour? I desire no more than this to present them with, and I'm sure of obtaining what soever I shall ask, though I should sacrifice to them nothing better than Salt and Meal mingled together h.

" Is not this infinuating that the Priests were " the People that fomented that mercenary Tem-

per

Vid. Dryden's English Tradation of Perfeus.

Nempe hoc, quod Veneri donatæ à Virgine Pupæ.
Quin damns id superis, de magná quod dare lance
Non posit magni Messale lippa propago,
Compositum jus, sasque animi, sanctosque recessus
Mentis, & incoctum generoso pectus honesto,
Hoc cedò, ut admoveam templis & sarre litabo:

Pers. Sat. 2. vers. 69, & seq.

" per, that Trade and Business of Devotion, that " reigning Abuse, which made People profuse " in their Victims and Offerings to the Gods, " imagining that the celestial Powers (as much " delighted as Men, with Presents of Gold and " Silver.) would grant whatfoever they should " request at their Hands? - It does not ap-" pear whether these Priests were Men of Learn-" ing, or had philosophiz'd upon the Nature of " the Gods: But we have ground to believe, " that they had not Vertue and Probity, enough " to teach Men to place a much greater Confi-" dence in the Purity of the Heart, than in " the exteriour Practices of divine Worship, and " the Expences of Religion. The Gain of the " Priests would have been too much leffen'd, " had the People follow'd the Maxims of the "Philosophers." To all this let me add a Passage of Socrates, in that Dialogue of Plato's. which bears the Name of Eutyphron that is to fay, of the Person with whom Socrates is introduc'd speaking. He was a Soothsayer, and Socrates feems in his Person to reproach all Priests, and other Persons of that Character, i with being very referv'd, and loath to communicate their Knowledge and Understanding: By which, in all Probability, he particularly means that which relates to Morality, as is infinuated by the Opposition he makes of their Conduct, to that which he observes in his Dialogues, which ordinarily turn upon this Science, and whose only. Tendency is to make Men better, and to inspire them with the Love of Vertue. Moreover the very Subject of the Dialogue, gives us to understand clearly the false Ideas the Priests had in Matters of Morality,

i 'Iσως & συ μ Ιοιείς, σπα'τιον σεαυδίν σας ειν, η διδα'σκειν εκ εξέλειν ? σεαυδε σοφίαν. Τοπ: 1. p.g. 3. D. Edit. Steph.

Morality, for there we see Entypbron, who believes he's doing the best Action in the World. of his own pure Motion, led to inform against his own Father, in an Affair in which he pretended to convict him of Murder. It will perhaps be objected to me, what I have faid k elsewhere. in one of my Notes, that among the Pagans, even the People knew, that Vertue is agreeable to the Divinity, and that Vice is odious to it: Whence it feems to follow, that the People were indebted for that Knowledge, to the publick Ministers of Religion. But there is a great deal of Probability, that these Sorts of Principles kept their ground among the People, either by an antient Tradition, or by the Remains of the Light of natural Religion, or by both join'd together; and that if the Priests did not teach downright the contrary, or if they even recommended Vertue fometimes, it was after a loofe Manner, and without ever entering into an instructive Detail, of which they were, without Doubt, incapable. But this is sufficient for my Purpose, which is to shew. that among the Pagans, the publick Ministers of Religion who ought to have made Morality their principal Study, concern'd themselves with nothing less than that.

Among the Jews, it does not appear, that the Priests apply'd themselves to this Science, and after that there were no more Prophets among this chosen People of God, that is to say, a little after their Return from the Babylonish Captivity, the Doctors and publick Interpreters of the Law, begun insensibly to corrupt Morality; they were far from discovering its true Principles, and pushing them in all their Consequences, as they might easily have done, by the Assistance of Revelation, of

which

k On Puffend. 1. 2. c. 4. par. 3. Not. 4.

which they were the Depositaries; but being wholly taken up with the Civil Law, or the Study of Ceremonies, labouring under carnal Prejudices, and scrupulously attach'd to the Letter of the Law, they did not apprehend, or even acted contrary to the Design of the Lawgiver. Upon Pretence that God, to accommodate himself to the Weakness of the Jewish Nation, had prescrib'd them a great Number of Rites and Ceremonies, they press'd the Exercise of that exteriour Worship, and the formal Practices of Devotion, much more than Purity of Heart, and an exact Attachment to the Rules of Virtue; and which is worse, by their false Glosses and I humane Traditions, they came at last to destroy entirely divers of the most indisputable Principles of the Law of Nature; " they invented, for Example a thoufand ridiculous Subtilities, to make Room to evade the Obligation of an Oath, and the most solemn Promises; " If any one (said the Scribes, and Pharifees, whom for this Reason Jesus Christ calls Hypocrites and blind Guides) if any one swear by the Temple, it is nothing; but who soever shall swear by the Gold of the Temple, be is a Debtor. -- Whosver shall swear by the Altar, it is nothing; but who seever sweareth by the Gift that is upon it, he is guilty. -- Te pay Tithe of Mint, and Anise, and Cummin, and bave omitted the weightier Matters of the Law, Judgment, Mercy, and Faith It is one of the most pure Maxims of good Senfe, that o all Vows contrary to a divine Law, are in themselves entirely void. Nevertheless the Priests, and the Doctors who depended upon them, finding their Account in Vows,

<sup>1</sup> See Matth. 19. 3.

m See Matth. 15. 3.

<sup>&</sup>quot; Matth. 23, 16, 18, 23.

<sup>.</sup> I uffend. 1. 3. c. 6. par. 15. 1. 4. c. 2. par. 8.

Vows made in Favour of the Temple, had the Impudence to maintain, that if any one had vow'd to God all that he could have given to his Father or his Mother, fuch Vow was lawful and irrevocable; fo that after the Vow, that unnatural, or rather impious Child, was, according to them, not only dispens'd with affisting his Parents in their Necessities, but could not even do it in Conscience, because of the Obligation of his Vow. P Whosever shall say to his Father or his Mother, it is a Gift by what soever thou mightest be profited by me, ought not to borour his Father or his Mother; this was their Decision. As God, for Reasons founded on the Constitution of the Jewish Commonwealth, had forbid the Jews to have much Communication with other Nations, and even expressly commanded them, to root out some of them, they conceiv'd Sentiments of implacable Hatred and Animosity against all the rest of Mankind. Thus a few look'd upon himself as dispens'd with, from doing any Office of 4 Humanity, or any Duty of Civility, to all Persons of other Nations, unless they embrac'd the Jewish Religion; he even pretended to a Right of confidering them as fo many Enemies, on whom he was not only permitted, but even positively commanded to revenge himself, when he could do it without Punishment; and far from being able to fet himself right as to these strange inhumane Opinions, by the Instruction of his Masters and Teachers, he confirm'd himself in them in their School. The Pharifees, big with the Opinions

P Matth. 15. 5.

See Matth. 5. 47.

<sup>9</sup> See Juven. Sat. 14. 103, 104. Tacit. Hift. l. s. c. s. and the Parable of the Samaritan, Luke 10, 30. & feq.

nions of Judas Gaulonita, imagin'd, that there were none, but such as were Magistrates of their own Nation, and immediately establish'd by the Order of God, to whom they were bound to pay Obedience, from a Principle of Conscience, and upon this Foundation they f taught, that it was not lawful to pay Tribute to the Roman Emperour, though he was in the peaceable Possession of their Country; they likewise did divers other Things of the like Nature, as our Saviour Jesus Christ reproaches them in divers ! Parts of the Gospel. The Doctors, whom the Fews have had in the following Ages, to this Day, have in this Respect only improv'd upon their Predecessors, as appears from the Extravagances, the detestable Maxims, and Impieties, of which the Talmud, and the Books of the Rabbins are full. We find in them, for Example, that it is no Harm ' to curse the Christians: That it is not lawful w to succour an Idolater, when we fee him ready to be drown'd, or in Danger of perishing by any other Way: That we ought not to perform the Function of a Physician to an Idolater, even when we could get by it a liberal Recompence, unless we believ'd that this Refusal would draw on us the Hatred

" Mark 7. 13. V See Grotins's Letters, Part. 1. Epift. 122.

A See Matth. 22. 17. Joseph. Antiq. Jud. 1. 18. c. 1. & de Bell. Jud. 1.2. c. 12.

Vossii, in fine Tom, 7. Oper. Gerb. Job. Vossii. Amst. 1700. Note, that this Rabbin is one of the most esteem'd, and most indicious among them. There are other Examples, of his desidable or ridiculous Maxims of Morality, in the Abstract of his Treatise of an Oath. Nouv. de la Repub. des Lettr. Jan. 1700. Art. 4. See also Bassage Hist. of the Jews, 1. 4 c. 15. It have the Anthor cites divers ville Maxims of the Jewish Dostors.

Hatred of the fick Person, or we had Ground to fear some Harm from him: In this Case, say they, it is lawful to succour him, but on Condition, that he pay well for it; for it is not lawful to succour him Gratis. \* Thus have these sales Doctors corrupted the Purity of Moses's Morality

Jesus Christ, during the Course of his Ministry, never ceas'd to combat and entirely confute the erroneous Maxims, and pernicious Gloffes of the Jewish Doctors. He re-establish'd Morality in all its Purity; he fully discover'd the true Sources, and gave, as to all the Duties of Men in general, and each one in particular, general but perfed Rules, entirely agreeable to Reason, and the true Interests of Mankind. His Disciples preach'd to all the Word this most holy Doctrine, by Means of which, well understood and explain'd, we may guide ourfelves furely, in the Decision of all Cases imaginable: Nevertheless, even in the Times of the Apostles, there crept into the Church a great Number of false Doctors, who began to corrupt the Christian Morality, pretending that to it ' ought to be join'd the Observation of the Mosaic Ceremonies; although the Son of God had manifestly freed Men from the Obligation of submitting themselves to that Yoke, much fitter in itself to turn Men from true Vertue, than to maintain or produce it. There were likewise People, who teaching another Doctrine than that of Jesus Christ, 2 gave beed to Fables, and endles Genealogies, which minister Questions, rather than godly

n

<sup>&</sup>quot; Mr. Bernard, in his Nouvelles. October 1702, p. 46.
Where he cites these loose Decisions of the Jewish Doctor.

<sup>&</sup>quot; See Paul's Epift. to the Romans, and Galatians, and Coloff.

<sup>1 1</sup> Tim. 1. 3, 4, 5, 6, 7. See 2 Peter 2. 1, 2.

Edifying, which is in Faith, and in Charity, which the End of the Commandments, both of the Law and of the Gospel; rascally blind Doctors, underflanding neither what they fay, nor whereof they affirm, bave turned afide unto vain Jangling. ? There were others, that despised Government, who being audacious and self-willed, were not afraid to speak evil of Digmities; who holding Discourses full of Vanity and Folly, drew to them by the Lusts of the Flesh, and by Debauchery, People that had clean escaped from them who live in Error, who b chang'd the Grace of our God into Wantonness: Others, lastly, c That beld the Doctrine of Balaam, who d taught Balack to caff a Stumbling Block before the Children of Israel, to eat Things facrificed unto Idols, and to commit Fornication, Soll vior flor

It is not therefore to be wondered at. that after the Death of the Apostles, and their first Disciples, the Evil encreased every Day more and more. The extream Fondness of the following Ages for Fables and Allegories, f salse Eloquence, and the Reveries of the Pagan & Philosophers; the profound Ignorance of the Art of just Reasoning, and of the right h Manner of interpreting the Holy Scripture;

2 Peter 10. 18.

Jude yer. 4.

Revelat. 2. 14. ( 15.11)

See Numb. 22. & feq.

See Biblioth. Univ. Tom. 10. p. 233. & feq. and M. du
Pin in bis Bibliotheque of Ecclef. Auth. Tom. 1. p. 7. Edie.
Hol. and Basnage's Hist. of the Jews, 1. 3 C. 22.

f See Ars Critica of M. le Clerc. Tom. 1. Part. 2. Sect. 1. c. 17. Par. 13. & feq. p. 347. & feq. Edit. 4. and B.bl. Univ. Tom. 12. p. 144, Go. 263, Go.

<sup>8</sup> Bibl. Univ. Tom. 10. p. 181, 6c.

h See Defense des Sentime de quelq. Theolog. d'Holl. &... Lett. 14. Wotton on ancient and modern Learning, c. 23. Le Clerc. Epist. Grit. & Eccles. Epist. 4.

Scripture; the Impetuofity with which Men abandoned themselves to the Motions of a heated Imagination; the Ambition and Immorality of the greatest i Part of the Ecclesiasticks, who were more jealous of their own Rights and Privileges. and more fet upon discussing some Point of Discipline, or certain abstracted Questions, than careful to fludy Morality, and inflruct the People; the frightful Disorders, and scandalous Divisions which fo often rent the Church; the gross Abuses which from Time to Time crept into Practice, and which at last made a Reformation necessary, always so difficult to Attempt, and still more to execute: the little Solidity we meet with in the greatest Part of the Writings we have left of Ecclefiaftical Antiquity: All this gives us no Ground to believe, that the Study of Morality made great Progress in the World in those Times, notwithstanding all the Light of the Gospel: But that there may be no Doubt remaining upon this Head. let us enter a little on Particulars.

that the greatest Part of the Fathers have wrote nothing upon Matters of Conscience; and that those who have touch d on it, have said nothing upon most Questions, the Decision of which is of Importance? In Reality, it appears by the Books which are come to our Hands, and the Catalogues of those that are lost, that most of those that are called Fathers of the Church never took Pen in Hand but to write upon Matters purely speculative, or Ecclesiastical Discipline. If they treated on Points of Morality,

twas

La Placette, de la Conscience, Liv. 2. c. 16. p. 190.

i See, for Example, several Passages of Gregory Nazianzen, and Bibl. Univ. Tom. 18. p. 57, 92, 110, 119.

twas very rarely, or on a particular Occasion, and always in a loofe immethodical Manner. The Sermons which they sometimes made upon these Sorts of Subjects, were so very full of the vain Ornaments of a false Rhetorick, that the Truth was, as it were, stifled under a Heap of Figures, and pompous Declamations. Most of the Maxims of Morality which they scatter'd in their Works. were drawn by the Force of Machines, and extravagant Allegories, from a Thousand Paffages of Scripture, where quite another Thing was meant. To be convinced of this, we need only read the Collections which the 1 bigotted Admirers of Ecclefiaftical Antiquity have given us of fuch Thoughts as appeared to them the most beautiful in the Works of the Fathers. Moreover. these ancient Doctors of the Church, even in their least imperfect Treatises of Morality, perpetually confound the Duties of a Man, and the particudar Duties of a Christian, considered precisely as fuch; as also the Principles of Morality purely Natural, and those of the Christian Morality: On the other Hand, it often happens, that they make too great a Difference between the Man and the Christian; so that by carrying this Distiction too far, they prescribe impracticable Rules. Lastly, a very plain Proof of the little Care they take to cultivate Morality, is, that almost all of them are fallen into the most gross Errors upon

For Example, Mr. de Sacy's Commentaries on the Scriptures; and the ingenious Thoughts of the Fathers of the Church, collected by Father Bouhours, their profess'd Admirer. See what M. Bernard says of them, Nouvel. de Septembre, 1704, p. 282 to 286.

m Buddei Hift. Juris Natural. Par. 10. before bie Selecta J. N. & Gentium.

that Head. Let us run over the most celebrated among them, and they will furnish us with manifest Examples of what I have faid.

n ATHENAGORAS holds of the Worship of Angels, and says, that they were created to take Care of Things bere below. He praises Virginity, condemns second Marriages, and calls them an P bonourable Adultory.

The Work of Clemens Alexandrinus, entitled the Pedagogue, is a Book in which 9 he forms the Minds of Touth, and lays down for them Rules of Christian Conduct. In this Treatise, are scattered up and down divers Maxims, very severe, and different from the Customs of the present Times. It is a confused Heap of Precepts without Order, without Connexion, full of Declamations and Mysteries; in one Word, worthy of him, who writes almost continually, without Order, and without Coherence, and who boafts himself, in another Work, of baving bad a Defign to cover and perplex Things, that so none but such as are very intelligent, and willing to take a great deal of Pains, might be able to comprehend them. There are, in the Pedagogue, divers Passages, which, in the Opinion of Mr. du Pin, tought not to be read by every one. As Clement of Alexandria preferr'd in general the Stoick Philosophy to all others, there are likewise in that Work divers Paradoxes: "For Example, he v maintains, that w the Chri-" flian is the only rich Man. A Paradox very like

<sup>&</sup>quot; In his Apology for the Christians.

Du Pin Bibl. des Auteurs Eccles. Tom. 1. p. 65. Ed. d'Hol.

P Divlep (>a'u ) ivapenis isi moixeia. p. 298.

<sup>9</sup> Bibl. Univ. Tom. 10. p. 218.

Du Pin in the Place abovecited, p. 86: col. 2.

f Stromata l. 1. 4. & 7. in fine.

In the above cited Place.

V The Life of Clement of Alexandria, by M. le Clerc. Bibl. Univ. Tom. 10. p. 194.

<sup>\*</sup> L. 3. c. 6.

" to that of the Stoicks, who faid the same Thing " of their wife Man. Those Philosophers express themselves thus: That the wife Man is the only " rich Man; and Clement has changed nothing but " the Word Philosopher into that of Christian. "Arguments he uses to prove his Proposition, " are not very different from those of the Stoicks, " as will plainly appear, by comparing what he " fays with the Explication Cicero gives of that " Stoical Maxim, in his " Paradoxes." When he explains this Precept of the Gospel, \* When they persecute you in one City, fly into another, he reasons upon the Principles of the Stoicks, who denied that Pain was an Evil: The Lord, fays he, does not command us to fly, as if it were an Evil to be persecuted; and be does not command us to avoid Death by Flight, as if we ought to fear it. Our Doctor, in the following Part of his Discourse, founds the Commandment to fly, upon this, that otherwise we should give Occasion to the Persecutors to commit Murder: Jesus Christ, says he, would not bave us engage nor afist any one to do Evil, &c. The chimerical Idea of the wise Man of the Stoicks, represented a Man entirely without Passions: So likewise Clement maintains, in another Place, that y A true Christian is free from Pussions, even the most innocent ones, = except such as regard the Prefervation of the Body, as Hunger, and Thirst, and such like. Upon this Principle he pretends, that Jesus Christ and his Apostles had no Passions, and

<sup>\*</sup> Paradox 6.

x Stromat. 1. 4. p. 503.

Whom he calls Gnostic (yeasixos) that is to say, one that perfectly understands the Christian Religion.

<sup>2</sup> L. 6. p. 649. Stromat.

a Ib. le Clerc. Lettr. Crit. & Ecclef. Epift. 1. p. 18, 19.

and that Jesus Christ himself had no Sense either of Pleasure or Pain; that he had no Occasion to eat, and that, if he did it, it was for fear of being taken for a Spectre. He likewise very incautiously justifies the Idolatry of the Pagans, where he says, that a God gave them the Sun, Moon, and other Stars, that they might adore them, and by that Worship raise themselves to the true God, A b Professor in Divinity, of the Lutheran Communion, has endeavoured to vindicate Clement upon some of the Mistakes I'm speaking of. But if we examine all that he fays upon that Head, we shall find, that he does not fucceed at all better, than where he maintains, that the Pedagogue and the Stromata are excellent Works, both in regard of the Morality, and of the Stile and Method. The Analysis which he himselfgives, is almost sufficient to perswade the Reader of the contrary to what he pretends to prove, and to shew the little Foundation of the magnificent Elogiums which he bestows on the Priest of Alexandria.

TERTULLIAN, to say nothing here of the Chimerical Visions and extravagant Austerities of the Montanists, which he was missed into, seems to carry a little too far, in some Cases, this most true Principle, that all such as favour the Wicked in their Iniquity, or in any Manner whatsoever, contribute to Evil, are guilty; and to take Things strictly which may be excused; as for Example, the dearing Arms for the Defence of the State; the adorning of Houses with Illuminations and Laurels, in Honour of Princes; to make

\* L. 6. Stromat. p. 669.

d De Idololatria.

e

d

t

,

ne

è

0

st

e

e-

0

be

e-

ıd

at

S,

nd

at

b Mich. Fortschius Comm. in Offic. Ambros. Diff. 2. Par. 6. & feg.

Du Pin. Biblioth. des Aut. Eccl. Tom. 1. p. 102,

make use of the usual Ways of speaking, though they have some Relation to Idolatry. It is with the same Spirit, that vindicating, in his Book of the Crown, the Action of a Soldier, who had refused to put a Crown on his Head; he maintains, that Christians are absolutely forbid to put on Crowns, or even to bear Arms. He even calls those Plumes or Crowns, which Soldiers use to put upon their Heads, the Pomps of the Devil, and a Sin against Nature. When he declaims against Plays, he keeps within no Bounds, and gives blindly into falle Sentiments, as, where he Tays, That it is the Devil who puts the Buskins on the Actors, in order to make Jesus Christ lie, who has faid, that no one can add a Cubit to his Stature. He afferts, that a Christian cannot in Conscience perform the Function of a f Judge, nor ferve as an Executioner of Justice. He seems to maintain, that one cannot be an Emperor and a Christian at the same Time. In the Books of Monogamy, and Exhortation to Chastity, be condemns absolutely second 8 Marriages as Adultery. He maintains in his Book of avoiding Persecution, That it is absolutely forbidden to fly in the Time of Persecution, or to give Money to avoid being put to the Rack. After this, it is not to be wondered at, that he condemns Self-Defence against an unjust Aggressor, as contrary to Christian Patience. In order to prove this, he uses Reasons as weak and trifling as the Maxim is in itself hard and extravagant. He says, that the Gospel forbids, h without Restriction, to return Evil for Evil: That it is an Attack upon the Rights

. De Spectaculis c. 23.

f De Idoloiste. cap. 17, 19. & de Corona Militis c. 11. See Dallæus de niu Patrum, 1. 2. c. 4. p. 262.

g Du Pin 103, 102.

h De Parientia, p. 165. Ed. Rigalt. See Rigaut's Notes.

Rights of God, and the Homage we owe him, to arrog te the Power of defending ones self as we think proper: That when Jesus Christ said, Judge not, lest ye be judged, he requires a Patience carried to that Pitch; For who is he that does not judge another, unless such a one as is patient enough not to defend himself? After this Manner does Tertullian explain the Scripture, and on such Principles he tounds the Maxims of Morality which he advances.

ORIGEN, in his Homilies, is full of Moral Infiructions; but they are little else but Maxims of Morality, drawn from the Scripture by Force of Allegories, and told after a Manner not at all proper to touch the Heart, and to produce a reasonable Belies. It is well known, that this samous Doctor, taking in a literal Sense, by a very gross Mistake, these Words of Jesus Christ, Some have made themselves Eunuchs for the Kingdom of Heaven, put in Practice himself this mistaken Precept; and Demetrius, Bishop of Alexandria, before he became his Capital Enemy, admired this Action of his, as an Example of Heroick Virtue.

St. Cyprian k was married at the Time of his Conversion; but "From that Time forward, even before Baptism, he preserved his Chastity, as his Deacon Pontius tells us: Which shews that it was the Opinion of those Times, that it was a kind of Sarctity to live unmarried: An Opinion which does not ill match with the Ideas of Virtue in those Times, which were very often as distant from the common Usage of Life, as the D 2 Rhetorick

2

S

22

ts

1.

i Matth. 19. 12.

k See Cyprian's Life by M. Le Clerc, Bibl. Univ. Tom. 12.
p. 215, 216, 217.

Rhetorick of the same Age, so much the more efteemed, in that it gave a very new Turn to Things. The one was almost as improper to procure the Good of one's Neighbour, and of the Community, as the other was to help us to understand clearly what was faid, and to acquire just Ideas. Cyprian, not contented with having parted from his Wife, moreover gave all his Goods to the Poor. He had great Difficulties to get over in parting from his Wife, and it was no small Mortification to him. is very certain, that the Christian Religion does not command any Mortification, that ferves to no Purpose: There was nothing more necesfary to be enquired into, than whether a Perfon is in a better Condition to serve God, when he entirely abstains from a Thing, the Use of which has nothing Criminal in it, and which he can't help defiring, or when he continues the moderate Use of it. However it be, from the Time of St. Cyprian, this new kind of Continence, which had been unknown to the precedent Ages, was regarded as a great Virtue. 1 St. Cyprian scarce treats any Thing but in the Stile of a Declaimer, and often fays the most common Things in so figurative and far fetched a Manner, that, if we don't keep our felves on the guard, we may eafily imagine that all he says is of the last Importance. Unless " he had had fuch a Turn as this, he would never have took fo much Pleasure in the Reading of Tertullian, who writes just after the same " Manner, " Among the Arguments which he " uses to perswade young Women to abstain from

i Ib. p. 212, 213.

" Luxury, he fays, " It is acting contrary to the " Will of God, to use Ornaments, as likewise to " blacken the Hair, fince our Saviour has faid, Tou " cannot make one of your Hairs White or Black; and " you, adds Cyprian, you attempt to furmount a " Difficulty, which God has declared to be in-" furmountable." A Reason, that either proves nothing, or proves, that it is not lawful to shave one's Beard, or cut ones Hair. St. Cyprian's entire Reasoning turning upon this Maxim: All that grows naturally, is the Work of God; all that we change, in any Sort, is the Work of the Devil. He seems to be not far remov'd from the false Ideas of Martyrdom that prevailed in those Times, where "he " o comforts beforehand fuch as having confessed " Jesus Christ, might not perchance obtain the Honour of being Martyrs, because it might " happen, that the Persecution should cease before " they could be put to Death-Which sup-" poses a Disposition very different from the Sen-" timents of him who said when he was upon the " Point of undergoing the Punishment of the " Cross: Ob that this Cup might pass from me!" St. Cyprian, in his Treatise of the Usefulness of Patience, highly extols P Abel for letting his Brother kill him without defending himself; thereby making himfelf a Type of the Constancy of the Martyrs. In which, as on the one Hand, he gueffes at a Circumstance, of which there is not the least Footstep in the History of Genesis; on the other, he condemns in this Passage, and elsewhere, the natural Right of Self-Defence. When he q is to answer fuch

" De Habitu Virginum.

t

e

r

r

G

2.

g

16

ne

P De Bono Patientiæ, p. 214. Ed. Brem.

Bibl. Univ. in the above cited Place, p. 248, 249, 250.

<sup>9</sup> Ib. p. 309. ex Ep. 59.

fuch as rebel against the Bishops, he uses an Argument, which proves the Obligation of obeying blindly " all Bishops elected with the usual For-" malities," or it proves nothing. In his Answer to a Letter of Florent Pupian, a Bishop of Africa, " He sequals the Bishops with the Apostles, and main-" tains, that it is insupportable Insolence to pretend to judge of them: That Pupian in particular was " an insolent Fellow, to refuse to acknowledge Cyprian " for a lawful Bishop, till be had been convinced in his "Judgment of the Legality of his Election, since it would follow from thence, that for the Six Tears that " be had been a Bishop, be had no Right to administer " any Sacrament, or grant Absolution to such as he had " granted it to. Thus the Salvation of the People " depended on the Validity of the Election of a " Bishop; and the Validity of that Election de-" pended on the Holiness of the Bishop-" Monstrous Principle, which makes the Salva-"tion of Christians so uncertain, and renders " useless the Virtue of the People, with all which " they were to be damned, if the Rishop was not " a good Man, and if he had been irregularly " elected."

LACTANTIUS pretends, that a truly good Man ought not to bear Arms, or trade with any Foreign Countries. He absolutely condemns Usury, and looks upon it as a kind of Robbery. He carries beyond all Bounds the 'Obligation of Christian Patience. He w maintains, that we ought to accuse no Man of a Crime punishable with Death;

Ep. 66.

f Bibl. Univ. Ib. p. 332, 333.

<sup>\*</sup> Instit. Divin. cap. 17. 1. 5. cap. 20. 1. 6. c. 18.

<sup>&</sup>quot; See Puffend. de J. N. & G. 1. 2. c. 5. § 14. " L. 6. c. 20.

Death; and treats such an Action as Murder,

without Distinction in any Case.

"There are \* very few Principles of Morality in Athanasius; and those you meet with there, excepting what regards the Flight of Perfecution, Episcopacy, and the Defence of the Truth, are not so tully handled as they might be. "This is the Opinion of a Roman Catholic Writer; who likewise owns that the Instructions of St. Cyril, are written in Hast, and without much Care.

Saint 2 BASIL, furnam'd the Great, holds, that be that gives another a mortal Wound, is guilty of Murder, whether he attacked the Person, or did it in Self-Defence. He declares, that it a is better to part those that have committed Fornication, than to marry them together; but that nevertheless, if they will marry, they should not be binder'd, for fear a greater Evil should bappen. In the celebrated Letter to St. Gregory, in which he lays down Rules for a Monastic Life, there is one for the Regulation of the Behaviour of Monks, which appears directly contrary to that of Jesus Christ in the Gospel, (Matth. 6. 16, 17.) for this Father says that the Humility of the Solitary should appear in all his Behaviour; that he should have the Eye sad, and looking down on the Earth; his Hair discompos d, his Garment nasty and tatter'd. In the little Treatise of reading the Greek Writers with Profit, I find two or three very extravagant Maxims. He pretends that

y Ib. p. 143.

a Can. 26.

\* Bibl. Univ. Tom. 25. p. 412, 413.

<sup>\*</sup> Du Pin Bibl. des Aut. Eccles. Tom. 2. p. 54.

<sup>2</sup> Ib. p. 179. ex Epift. 2, ad Amphiloch. Can. 43.

<sup>·</sup> Homil. de legend. Græc. par. 7. Ed. Oxon. 1694.

that it is unlawful for Christians ever to go to Law. He seems to d understand literally these proverbial Words of Jesus Christ; If any one strike you on the right Cheek, turn to him also the left: And he finds that Socrates did something very like that, which is there commanded, when he patiently endur'd a severe Banging from an insolent Fellow, that was enrag'd against him. He believes, that it is e never lawful to swear; and thereupon proposes the Example of a Pythagorean, who chose rather to loose three hundred Talents, that is, about eighteen hundred Crowns, than take an Oath, though he could have done it with a safe Conscience.

GREGORY f of NAZIANZUM, writes with. out much Order. - His Style is excessively full of Metaphors, very incorred, and sometimes barsh. " He g runs out against the Boldness of the Arians, " and Macedonians, who were at least as numerous " as the Orthodox and had the Impudence to " meet together, and form Churches; horrible At-" tempt after the Decision of a Council, so or-" derly as that which had been h just then held! " Gregory could not understand how his Holiness " and his Gravity (this was the Manner of treat-" ing Bishops in those Days; Gregory was writ-" ing to Nectarius) could fuffer the Apollinarists " to meet together. -- He thought, for what " Reason I can't imagine, that allowing those " People to meet together, was granting them " that their Doctrine was truer than that of the " Council.

d Ib. par. 13.

<sup>·</sup> Ibid.

f See his Life by Mr. le Clerc. Bibl. Univ. Tom. 18. p. 23.

<sup>8</sup> Ibid p. 114, 115.

The Council of Constantinople.

" Council, fince there could not be two Truths; as " if the tolerating of any one, was fignifying our " Affent to the Truth of his Opinions! Laftly " he exhorts Nectarius, to represent to the Em-" perour, that that which he had done in Favour " of the Church, would be of no Service, if the "Hereticks should be allow'd to assemble toge-"ther. Thus honest Gregory, who, whilst the " Arians were most powerful, having the Em-" perour of their Side, was against doing that " which he blam'd in them, advis'd his Suc-" ceffour to forget that wholesome Lesson. When the Emperour Julian, added Infult to his ill Treatment of the Christians, and despoil'd them of their Goods, telling them he only help'd em to observe the Gospel, which commands them to despise Riches, " Gregory answers this, among " other Things, that Julian, by using 'em after " that Manner, must needs think that the Gods " of the Pagans, were pleas'd to see People plun-" der'd, though they had deferv'd no fuch Usage; " and fo of Consequence approve of Injustice. "He might have been contented with this An-" fwer, but he adds that there are some Things, " which Jesus Christ has commanded as necessary; " and other Things, which he has proposed fim-" ply, for such as are willing to observe them, " without laying any one under an indifpensa-" ble Obligation of doing it. Such, according to " Gregory, is the Command to forfake the Things " of this Life." By which we fee that he fupposes a pretended Advice, to abandon ones Estate, when we have no particular Reason for so doing: Whereas it is a real Commandment, but which E

to

ſe

ke

d

ce

a-

le

nd

n,

ts,

m

th

**b**-

of

ts,

us

to

t-

r-

ſs

t-

t-

As

at

m

le

i Ibid. p. 45, 46.

is incumbent on us, only when we can't prefer to our Goods, without breaking in upon our Duty,

and violating some Maxim of the Gospel.

Saint AMBROSE carries the Efteem of Virginity, and Celibacy to far, that he feems to regard Matrimony as an indecent Thing. He fays very plainly, that, before the Law of Moses, and that of the Gospel, Adultery was not forbidden. If he be loofe upon this Head, he feems too rigid upon another, I mean, upon lending m Money to Ufury, which he condemns absolutely, without any Exception. The Treatife of Offices is a Book which he wrote to teach Ecclesiasticks their Duty. Although " the Name of Ministers, is fruck out of the Roman Edition, and the following ones, it is found in all the Manuscripts, and it is plain by the Work itself, that St. AMBROSE, wrote it for the Ecclesiafticks. But though be addiesses himself to them, be likewise treats of the Duties of all Christians, of which he makes a particular Application to Ecclefiasticks. We see by the little, and the Manner in which he treats his Subject, that he deligned to imitate Cicero's Offices. But notwithflanding what has been faid; by a Lutheran Divine, who publish'd this Work at Wirtemberg, in the Year 1698, with some Differtations of his own; if we except the particular Principles of the Gofpel, which St. AMBROSE has featter'd about in this Work, with the Examples and Passages of Holy Scripture, which he perpe-

1 Lil. r. de Patriarch. Abrah. cap. 4. See Dall, ubi Surra. Mr. Bayle, Dict. Tom. 3. p. 2679. Not.

2 45; 46.

Du Pin, T. 2. p. 257, 258. Fortschius Professour.

k De Institut. Virgin. & passim alibi. See Dall. de Usu Patrilm, p. 272.

m De Tobia c. 3. & 15. See M. Noodt de Foen. & Uferis 1. 1. c. 4. c. 10. p. 64. & feq. and du Pin, T. 2. p. 256.

perpetually cites, but generally very ill apply'd; I don't stick to say, that the Copy is infinitely below the Original, whether you consider the Purity and Easiness of the Style, or the Compofition of the Work; and the Order of the Things contain'd in it, or the Solidity of the Thoughts, and Justness of the Reasoning: For Example, fee here the Contents of the First Book. After a Kind of a Preface upon the Question, when and in what Manner it is proper to speak or bold ones Tongue; he enters upon his Subject, in the 8th Chapter, with some Grammatical Remarks, which have no Foundation. In the 10th Chapter, he treats of good P Breeding, the first Part of which he makes to confift in the Art of governing ones Tongues; in the 11th Chapter, he distinguishes two Sorts of Duties, the Middle, and the Perfect. He places in the Rank of the last, the Love of our Enemies, Prayers for such as caluminate us, or injure us in any other Manner, and the Works of Mercy. The 12th and following Chapters, to the 17th, turn upon the Subject of Providence, which he endeavours to establish and defend, the best he can, against the Murmurings of such as are expos'd in this World to great Afflictions, and against the Objections of Libertines and wicked In the 17th and following Chapters, he treats of the Duties of Youth. Having spoken occasionally at the End of the 24th Chapters of the four Cardinal Vertues, Prudence, Justice, Fortitude, and Temperance, he begins his Discourse upon them, but very flightly, in the 25th Chapter, where finding himself the Disorder of his Difcourse, he attempts to excuse, or rather justify it in these Words.

E 2

Perhaps

P Mr. du Pin ownsit in the above cited Place.

Perhaps it 9 will be faid, that we ought to have begun our Discourfe, with this Subject, fince from these four Vertues, spring the different Sorts of Obligations: But that would have been, to conform to the Rules of Art, according to which we ought at first to have defin'd the Obligation, and afterwards divided it into the different Sorts But we have defignedly avoided tring ounfelves up to thefe Rules : We are content with proposing Examples, taken from the Conduct of our Anceffors; that which is not difficult to be under food, and does not require the Subtleties of a methodical Writer. In the 28th Chapter, he very preposteroully attempts to shew, the Falsity of two of the Functions which Cicero attributes to Justice; and this is not the only Parlage where he criticizes without Reason, on the Pagan Philosophers, by mistaking their Sentiments, or reluting Things that are most indisputable. Towards the End of this first Book, he returns to the Ecclesiasticks, whose principal Vertues he describes, and ends with a Discourse of the Sacredness of a Trust. He does not begin his Discourse of Blessedness rill he comes to the Beginning of the 2d Book. The same Confusion and want of Method runs through the whole Work. He maintains that ar Christian ought not to fight against a Robber who attacks him, and lays it down as a general Maxim, that it is never lawful to preserve ones Life, by occasioning the Death of another. He likewife lays it down as a general Rule, that one cannot lawfully do a Thing which is not f expresty allow'd and authorized by the Scripture; and on this Principle, he absolutely forbids the Ecclefiasticks, all Sorts of Raillery. It is not it willfur router to slow or at

1. 1. cap. 25. Init.

Du Pin, p. 262. Ambrof. 1. 3. c

Du Pin, p. 262. Ambrol. I. 3. c 4. f. L. 1. c. 23. See Barbeyrac du Jeu. I. 1. c. 3. 5. 3.

to be wonder'd at that he condemns (L. 1. Cap. 50) fecond Marriages: Divers others were of the same Opinion, as we have already observ'd, be-

fore his Time.

Saint CHRISOSTOM treating of Usury, gives into very extravagant Opinions, as divers other Fathers had done. The fame Doctor, speaking of the Expedient Abraham usd for the fecond Time, when he was afraid of being kill'd, if it should be known that he was the Husband of Sarah; makes no Difficulty of telling his Auditors: " You w know very well, that nothing makes a Husband more uneasy, than to see his Wife suspected of " baving been in the Power of another; and yet, \* this " just Man does all be possibly can, that the Act of Adultery may be compleated .- He afterwards highly ex-" tols his Courage and Prudence. - Then he excuses " him for having consented to his Wife's Adul-" tery, upon this Account, namely, that Death, " which had not as yet been despoil'd of his Ty-" ranny, did at that Time inspire great Terrour. " After this Elogium of the Hufband, he paffes " to the Praises of the Wife, and says, that she " heartily accepted the Proposal, and did all she " could to play her y Part well in the Comedy." "Thereupon he exhorts Wives to imitate her " in this, and cries out : Who can belp admiring " this great Forwardness of hers to obey? Who can " sufficiently commend Sarah, for that after such Con-" tinence; and at ber Age, she was willing to submit " to 2 Adultery, and deliver up ber Body to Barbarians.

Y Genes. cap. 20.

See M. Noodt de Foen. & Ufuris, I. z. c. 4. & 6.

<sup>&</sup>quot; This is taken from Bayle's Diction. in the Article Abimelech.

<sup>\*</sup> Homil. 32 in Genes.

y Ibid.

<sup>2</sup> Ibid.

"rians, in Order to Jave her Husband's Life? St. 2 Am"brose is no less lavish of his Praises of Sarah's
"Charity; and St. Augustin fell into near the
"fame Illusion (in reasoning upon another Exam"ple, 2) It is a very strange Thing, that these
"great Lights of the Church, with all their Vertue,

"and all their Zeal, should not know that it is not lawful to save ones own Life, nor that

of another, by committing a Crime. St. IEROME is b every where exclaiming against Marriage in General, and second Marriages in Particular, and bis Invectives are so bitter, and full of Rage, that with all the Softenings in the World, one cannot anoid perceiving, in e what he fays upon that Head, an entire Conformity with the Sentiments of Tertullian, which were condemn'd by the Church as injurious to the Honour of Marriage, and contrary to the Holy Scripture. " He condemns a all Oaths without " Ex-" ception. He forbids Christians, to pay Tri-" bute to infidel Princes. He advises 8 and ap-" proves the Action of those, who kill them-" felves for fear of losing their Chastity. He " often speaks of Virginity, and the monastic Life, after a Manner which would almost make " one think it Necessary to Salvation. Labour, " Fastings, Austerities, and other Mortifications, " Solitude, and Pilgrimages, are the Subject of " almost

\* See Bayles Dict. Art. Acindynus.

lenso ar ar disnobl-

.bidl

c Episte ad Pammach, and elfewhere.

Du Pin, Tom. 3. p. 136.

De Abraham, I. 1. C 2.

b These are the Words of Dall. de Ufu Patrum, p. 276.

Comm. in Marth. c. 5. and Zachar. c. 2.

f In Matth. c. 7.

E In Jonam.

" almost all his Counsels, and Exhortations." He gives h us to understand plainly enough, that Fefus Christ has abolish'd the Permission to eat the Flesh of Animals, in the same Manner as he has abolish'd Divorce and Circumcision. It is well known with what Fury and Knavery, he run out against Vigilantius, who had written against the Worlhip, which at that Time began to be paid to the Relicks of Saints and Martyrs, and against divers other Practices, which the following Times have but too much shewn the bad Confequences of. The little Treatife of Ferom's. against that Priest is full of gross scandalous Reflections, and false Reasonings, which tend to render k odious to the Populace, an Adversary whom he could not refute by good Arguments.

St. AUGUSTIN endeavouring to make an 1 Apology, for Abraham's Complaifance for his Wife. with Regard to Agar, pretends that a Wife may yield to another Woman, the Right she has to the Body of her Husband; and that the Husband may likewise transfer to another Man, the Right he has to his Wife's Body: And which is more ftrange, he founds this Paradox upon a m mistaken Paffage of St. Paul. This Father dares to maintain, that by the Divine Law, all Things belong to the Just, or " Faithful, and that Infidels

m-

b's

he

n-

8

ie,

it

at

n/t

17-

ge,

ot

an

n,

be

p-

X-

ri-

p-

n-

Te

ic

ke

ir,

15,

of oft

h Dall. de Ufu Patrum.

I. I. adv. Jovin. this Paffage is cited in the canon Law, Dift. 35. can. 2.

<sup>&</sup>amp; See M. le Clere's Dissertat. At the End of his Logick, entitled de Argum. Theol. ab invidia ducto.

De Civit. Dei, 1. 16. c. 25.

r Corinth. 7, 4.

<sup>&</sup>quot; Epift. 153. Tom. 2. Edir. Benedictin. See Bayle's Thilofoph. Comment. on shefe Words, Compel them to come in. Part. 2. p. 130. & feq.

have no Right to what they Posses: An abominable Principle, and which overturns all humane Society. But see here another, which is not less odious, and which alone would extremely o fain the Memory of the Bishop of Hippo; it is this, that after baving been for Gentlenels and Moderation. es to the Treatment to be oblero'd towards Hereticks: the Disputes which he had with the Donatists so heated bim, that be chang'd from White to Black, and mainsain'd openly, that Hereticks ought to be persecuted, and forc'd to embrace the Orthodox Faith, or else to be quite rooted out, which is a very terrible and inhuman Opinion; as has been remark'd by a celebrated Minister of the Reform'd Persuasion. Every Body may read in French two P Letters of this Father. which were made use of to justifie the last Perfecution in France; and it may with Justice be faid that St. Augulin is, in some Sort, the great Patriarch of Christian Persecutors. Let us well observe this Example, for it does not stop with the Bishop of Hippo, and he alone gives us a very fenfible Proof of the Manner in which the Christian Doctors have neglected, or rather disfigur'd and corrupted Morality. If ever there was any Maxim; contrary to all the Lights of good Sense, natural Equity, Charity, good Politicks, and the Spirit of the Gaspel, it is (without Dispute) this detestable Opinion of Constraint and Persecution. for the Sake of Religion. Nevertheless, ever fince the Church began to enjoy Repose and Quiet, this has been the common Opinion, which in after Times was ordinarily reduc'd into Practice

o John Cland. Lett. at the End of his Book entitl'd l' Ouver-

of le Clerc's Ars Critica, p. 353.

by the strongest Party, with regard to the weakest. The Codes are full of Penal 4 Laws, against Sects that distered from the prevailing one; and they were \* Councils, Bishops, and the most eminent Doctors, who either sollicited the Promulgation of these Laws, or honoured with high Elogiams, Acclamations, Benedictions, and most humble I hanksgivings, the Sovereigns that had made these Laws, or that executed them with Vigour.

St. LEO in the Opinion of Mr. 2 du Pin, does not much abound with Points of Morality; be treats them very dryly, and after a Manner that diverts, ra-

ther than affects.

00-

nu-

18

0

115,

on,

25;

red

in-

nd

be

an

ed

ly

er,

r-

be

at

-11

th

ry

11+

nd

n;

u-

)i-

e-

n,

er

h ce

y

r-

1.

In the Time of Theodofius the Tounger, the Bishop of Sula a Royal City of Perlia, whose Name was Abdas (or rather Abdaa) took the Liberty to burn one of the Temples, in which Divine Worthin was paid to a the Fire. The King (his Name was Isdegerdes) being informed of it, sent for Abdaa, and after a very gentle Censure, ordered him to cause the Temple to be rebuilt, which he had destroyed. But the Bishop would do nothing towards it, notwithstanding the King threatened Reprisals on the Churches of the Christians; which he actually put in Execution, upon the obstinate Retutal of Abdaa, who chose rather to lose his Life, and expose the Christians to a furious Persecution, than obey so just and equitable an Order. b Theodoret, who relates this History, does not deny that the Zeal

T. 4. p. 164.

Hift. Eccl. 1, 5. c. 39.

Nouv. de la Rep. de Lettr. May, 1699. p. 574, 575, and April 1752, p. 409. and Eupplem. to Philos. Comm. c. 29, 30, 31.

Ibid. p. 355, 356. Thomasin de l'Unite de l'Eglise.

<sup>2</sup> See le Clerc Bibl. Choifie Tom. 8. p. 321, & feq.

Zeal which led Abdaa to burn the Temple of the Persians, was unseasonable; but he maintains that his Refusal to rebuild such a Temple was an Instance of Constancy deserving Admiration, and a Crown: For, adds he, it is as great an Impiety to build a Temple to the Fire, as to worship the Fire. " But there are no private Persons, be they " Metropolitans or Patriarchs, who can ever difpense with this Law of Natural Religion: One ought to repair by Restitution, or otherwise, the " Damage which one does to his Neighbour But Ab-" das, a meer private Person, and Subject of the " King of Persia, had ruined the Goods of another, " and the Goods of another fo much the more privileged, in that they belonged to the Established " Religion.——And it was a very forry Excuse " to fay, That the Temple which he was to have " rebuilt, would have ferved for Idolatry; for it " would not have been he that would have put " it to that Use; and he would not have been ac-" countable for the Abuse which the Owners might have made of it. Would this be a valid Reason why a Man should not restore a Purse which " he had robbed another of, to say, That he is a " Man who spends his Morrey in Debauchery?-" Besides this, what Comparison is there between " the Building of a Temple, without which the "Perhans would not have ceased to be as much " Idolaters as before, and the Destruction of several Christian Churches? --- In short, what " was more likely to render the Christian Reli-" gion odious to all Mankind, than to fee that " after they had infinuated it upon the Foot of "People that only defired to propose their Doctrine,

Bayle's Dift. Art. Abdas Remark C. p. 8, Edit. 2.

"Doctrine, they had the Impudence to demolish the Temples of the Religion of the Country, and to refuse to rebuild them, when the Sove-reign commanded it"? But those Bishops reasoned upon Principles equally contrary to the Gospel, and the Law of Nature; in which, however, they did but imitate the Maxims dand Conduct of St. Ambrose, upon a very like Occasion.

GREGORY the Great, according to Mr. du Pin, is tedious, and sometimes too prolix in his Explications of Morality, and too subtile in his Allegories.—

His Morals, or Commentaries upon Job, are one of the greatest Repertories of Morality that is extant. But he scarce ever stops at the Explication of the Letter: He continually applies Moral Resections and Allegories to the Text of Job, the greatest Part of which might as well be applied to any other

Passage of Scripture.

It

ı-a

e

e

What has been said is, in my Opinion, more than is necessary to shew clearly, that the most celebrated Doctors of the Church, for the first Six Centuries, are very bad Masters, and poor Guides in Matters of Morality. We said the same Six Years ago, when his Presace first saw the Light, and we don't retract it now we are publishing it a new, after a second Review. The Interest of Truth, which ought to outweigh all other Considerations but that of laying any Stumbling-Block in the Way of its Establishment; the Ease with which Abundance of People are dazled by great Names, and by the Prejudice of Antiquity, espe-

d See the Fast related with all its necessary Circumstances and Restections in the Crit. Gen. de l'Hist. du Calv. de Maimbaurg, T. 2. Let. 30. p. 275, &c.

<sup>\*</sup> Tom. 5. p. 144.

<sup>1</sup> Ibid. p. 134

<sup>4</sup> Ibid. p. 133.

cially Ecclesiastical Antiquity; the inexpressible Injury which this blind Respect causes to the Knowledge of the true Religion, and found Morality; the Honour of the Age we live in, which, more than all the past Ages, has shook off the Yoke of an Authority destitute of Arguments; the Candour and Sincerity of which we make a Profession; all their Things require us to speak Things as they are in themselves, and to judge of these Doctors who have been dead for feveral Ages, with the same Difinterestedness and the same Liberty which we should do of an Author dead the last Century, and whose Reputation was entirely indifferent to us. As good Protestants we may, and ought to fpeak boldly, without putting our felves much in Pain what may be faid or thought by those who think themselves concerned to declare their Jealous of the Houcur of the Fathers, and great Admirers of all their Productions, fo far as to facrifice to them the most common Rules of good Sense, which they would be very uneafy not to make Use of in other Affairs. They are unfortunate in being under this dire Necessity of judging fo differently of the same Things, according as they come from the Pen of a profane Author, an Ecclefiastical, an Ancient, or a Modern. We don't even doubt but there are some, who do themselves Violence on this Head, and who, though they talk like other People, don't think from the Bottom of their Hearts fo advantagiously as one -wou'd imagine, of the personal Qualities and Writings of the ancient Doctors, who have made most Noise in the Church. It wou'd be inhuman to infult those who live thus under perpetual Constraint, and we are forry for it with all our Heart, provided they don't feek, or don't embrace without Necessity the Occasion of betraying their Sentiments. Sentiments. But it is very hard to fit down eafy. when one fees that People, who have here a full Liberty of thinking and speaking as they think right, and whose grand Principle is, or ought to be, that the Holy Scripture is the fole Rule of our Faith and Manners; that these People, I say, take the Part of the Fathers with fo much warmth, and not content to be obstinately bent upon the Support of this visible Remnant of Popery, endeavour at any rate to lay others under the fame Yoke, are out of all Patience with fuch as shew a less elevated Notion of the Fathers than that which they have formed to themselves, and inveigh most bitterly against the Living, to revenge the Dead, to whose Memory no other Injury is done, than that of not admiring blindly their

false Sentiments, and shameful Conduct.

e

e

r-

d

d

e

Certainly fuch as being born in, or having gone over to the Party of the Protestants, dare declare themselves so great Zealots and idolatrous Partisans of Ecclefiaftical Antiquity, did not well confider Things, when they engaged in the Support of fo had a Cause: If they reflect upon it, they plainly fee it can never turn to their Honour. This appears sufficiently from the Extremities to which they are reduc'd, not to let go their Hold. perpetually change the State of the Question, they contradict themselves every Moment: They don't dare fay in fo many Words, that the Fathers were infallible, nor that they never fell into very gross Mistakes; and yet they reason as if they believed it, and would have others believe fo; they build almost always upon this tacit Supposition; they confess in one Place, that which they have denied in others, and fometimes more than is required. In a Word, they so behave themfelves as to give ground to believe, that by much reading and admiring the Fathers, they acquire a Turn of Mind much like to theirs; by which they furnish us themselves with a new Reason and evident Proof of the Judgment we pass upon these ancient Authors, the Reading of whom produces such ill Effects; insomuch that by attempting to restore them to the too high Esteem, which Ignorance and Superstition had obtained for them, they in Reality decry em more than could or would those who believe they have just Reason to contemn them on divers Accounts.

Nor only so, but they also imitate their Passions, which discover themselves but too plainly in that which is come down to our Times of the Writings of the Fathers, and their Lives. To be in nothing behind their Masters, to Declamation, salse Reasonings, a manifest Ignorance of Criticism and Morality, to the Contempt of Order and of Method, they join Rage and Invectives. They copy them so exactly that they become themselves very great Models: Reproaches run from the Source, and we must do 'em the Justice to own, that in this

at least they shew a great Genius.

Mortified to see, that without being obliged to search long, or take much pains, divers Authors have given us a great Number of Examples of the false Reasonings and gross Mistakes, which are to be met with in the Works of the Fathers, instead of attempting to shew soberly and by good Reasons, that the Passages cited contain nothing but what's true and well thought of, which would be a sure and honourable Way of defending these ancient Doctors, whom they have taken under their Protection: Instead of this, I say, they content themselves with crying out, with haughty Scorn, That it is the Fashion now a Days to attack the Fathers, that Ignorance thinks to recommend it self

N

f

y

-

)-

e

e

s,

it

0-

(e

nd

e-

y

e.

e,

115

to

rs

ot

h

rs,

od

ng

ld

fe

er

n-

ty

elf

by

by this Way; that such as rise up against them, do it with little Judgment and Knowledge. In which they themselves thew but little Judgment, fince the Question here is no ways concerning that vast Erudition upon which they so highly value themfelves. It is not necessary to understand all the Languages ancient and modern, nor to have read all the Fathers from Beginning to End: In order to judge of their Merit one need do little elfe, but take any Father you will, and open the Book, either in the Original, or in the great Number of Translations that have appeared. There are even whole Books, that are but a continued Thread of Lownesses heaped one upon the other, as for Example, St. Augustin's h Commentary upon the Pfalms. We likewise find in the Collections of Sermons, in the Books of Devotion, in the Commentaries upon the Scripture, published in the Vulgar Tongue, an infinite Number of Blunders and Stuff of the Fathers, which their most zealous Admirers retale, as the Flower and Choice of fine and judicious Thoughts which they have observed in reading their Works. Thus all may judge now, with Knowledge of the Caufe, whether the Fathers deferve the Elogiums given them, and the Warmth with which some undertake to defend them. imall Share of natural good Sense is fufficient, and never was the Reproach of Ignorance lefs to the Purpose, or worse placed. It is true, that such as bring fuch a loofe dishonest Accusation, seem to have engroffed to themselves all the good Sense, as well as all the Learning in the World: One would imagine, they would not leave one Spark of it to any one that does not enter into all their Notions,

h See the Judgment of Mr. du Pin, Bibl. des Auteurs Eccles &c.

Notions, or rather into all their Prejudices, into all their Passions, and all their Cabals. which might make one suspect this, is, that there are among them some who are more civil and modest, than publickly to call it Impudence. For People to take the Liberty to think and speak otherwise than they and the Fathers think and speak, even upon Subjects where one does but follow the common Opinion of Protestants, as, upon the Lawfulness of Usury, to look down upon them in Compassion, from the Height of their Understanding; as People who, without any Tincture of Learning, attempt to figualize themfelves at the Expence of almost all the famous Authors of the past Ages; such as dare modestly declare, that they have not fo high an Opinion as these People of St. Augustin or St. Ferom; and to give themselves tacitly the Title of great Authors, which a confused hasty reading of abundance of Books, good or bad, has without doubt merited for them. and which the World will never envy them.

But that which fills up the Measure of Blindness and Passion: Our bigotted Champions of the Fathers don't stop at the Reproach of Ignorance; they draw into the Quarrel, besides, either directly or indirectly, the Probity and the Religion of fuch as openly tell both the good and the bad, with respect to the Lives and Writings of the Ecclefiasticks and Divines of the first Ages, and who believe that they were not more infallible, either in their Lives, or their Doctrine, than the Ecclefiafticks and Divines of the present Times. One lof them dares affirm, without any Difgu fe, that we bould have a Veneration for the Fathers if we had artrue Zeal for Christianity; another, his fithful Eccho, That the Contempt of the Fathers carried Men the greatest Lengths (thus it is he calls the Freedom with

with which Men judge of the Fathers, without interesting themselves in their Reputation, but as far as Truth and Equity allow) that this Contempt restects upon the Christian Religion. If the Christian Religion, adds he, had not for Propagators, Men truly pious and knowing, What Opinion ought one to have

of it?

d

d

r

k

d

t

s,

)-

r

y

7-

15

y

IS

h

S,

à,

1-

ie

;

t-

of

d,

€-

10

er

e-

æ

at

ad

ul

en

m

h

I acknowledge, that if Reasons which only tend to render edious the Opinion and Person of an Adverlary, were good Arguments, this would be one of the best that was ever invented. All that is in it may be eafily retorted. It must necessarily be, fay you, that the Fathers, whom you regard as the Propagators of the Christian Religion, were Men truly pieus and knowing. But it has been afferted, and prov'd by a great Number of Examples, that the Fathers have not only fallen into very gross Errors, and been very ignorant of abundance of Things; but that they have likewife fuffer'd themselves, for the most part, to be led, more or less, by Passion and Humour, and that there has frequently been a good deal of Irregularity and Obliquity in their Conduct. You do not at all overthrow this, you don't give your felves the Trouble to refute the Examples and Facts proposed to you, you pass Sentence of Condemnation upon that Head. Therefore you tacitly confess, that the Christian Religion is of no Value: You are a secret Atheist or Deist, who, under a false Pretence of maintaining the Interests of Christianity, by vindicating the Honour of those whom you regard as the Propogators of it, labour privily to overthrow Religion itself. It is very pretty indeed, for you to fet up for a Zealot for Orthodoxy, or the received Opinions, which you defend only by edious Parallels, and malicious ill-natured Reflections: Very pretty, for you to

to fathom the Depths of God, employ all the Subtilty of your Wit to explain them, and flatter your felf with having found out new Solutions of the great Difficulties which in all Times have been raifed on the Origine of Evil: Notwithstanding all this if it be allowable to argue after the Manner you do, against those who do not esteem the Fathers enough for you, one would be ready to infer, even from your own Reasoning, some ill Design you have against the Religion, which you seem to engage for in this Dispute.

Heic aliquis latet error : Equo ne credite Teucri.

I leave it to judicious and disinterested Persons to judge, whether the Consequence be not at least as

well drawn on this Side, as on the other.

However, we shall forbear using such Weapons: We freely leave them to fuch as have no better, and we have Charity enough to believe that this Action of theirs is no more than Imprudence. Blinded by Prepoffession and Passion, doubtless they did not perceive the Advantage they gave both against themselves and the Christian Religion, by an Argument that appeared to them torcible, decifive, and proper to fave the Trouble of examining Things to the Bottom, and to throw Dust in Peoples Eyes. But to undeceive them, if it be possible, and to hinder, at least, the Simple, or fuch as won't give themselves the Trouble to learn to distinguish good Reasonings from sorry ones, from being dazzled with this, let us thew a little the Weakness of it.

I observe, in the first Place, that those that can be properly called the Propagators of the Christian Religion, are the Apostles, on whom the Holy Ghost had conferred the Gift of Miracles, al

W

th

al

oj

N

d

F

t

and i guided into all Truth, concerning Jesus Christ and his Doctrine. These Holy Men k gained Difciples among all Nations, according to the command they had received from their Master. St. Paul. who valued himself upon preaching the Gospel in those Places only where Jesus Christ was not named, least be should build upon another Man's Foundation. declares expresly, that from Jerusalem, m and round about unto Illyricum, be had fully preached the Gospel of Christ, that is to " fay, through a great Part of the Roman Empire. Tradition has preferv'd in the Indies, o and among other barbarous People, the Memory of the Travels and Miracles of St. Thomas. St. Andrew, and other Aposties. Thus the immediate Disciples of our Lord, filled with his Spirit, and armed with his Power, planted the Christian Faith in almost all the World; they laid the impregnable Foundation of this great Work, either by themselves, or by Means of some Apostolick Men, to whom they communicated the Gift of Miracles: And the ordinary Ministers of the Gospel, who succeeded them, but without any extraordinary Power, and with an infinitely less Authority. had nothing to do but to cultivate the deep Seeds which the Apostles had spread Abroad in all Parts, for the Propagation of Christianity, and which, by their own Virtue, aided by the Care of Providence, will always produce Fruit to the End of Time, whatever may be the Negligence or Malice of Men. From

· See Grot. de Verit. Relig. Chrift. 1, 2, Par. 18.

i John 16. 13.
k Matth. 28, 19.

Rom. 15. 20.

m Ib. ver. 12.

<sup>\*</sup> See Cellarius's Differt, entitled keinerarium Apost, &c. Ital 1700. Edit. 3.

From hence it appears already, that even suppoling that the Argument, we are speaking of, had any Force, it would prove nothing in Favour of the Fathers, fince they are not properly the Propagators of the Christian Religion. But let us grant, fince they will have it fo, this glorious Title to the Fathers of the Church, as what may agree with them, in a certain Sense, and to a certain Degree : It will be very Easy at one Stroke, to destroy the Consequence that is drawn to shew what they ought to be, without examining what they really were. We need only consider one indisputable Thing, that is, that the Apostles themselves were for a considerable Time, fill'd with carnal Prejudices, and that they likewife had their weak Side: They do not difguise them, and the ingenious Confession they make, ferves to confirm the Truth of their Testimony, and the Sincerity of their Intentions. Is there any Ground then for Astonishment, that the ordinary Ministers who succeeded them, and who were not favourd with any extraordinary Affistances of Heaven, had not all that Justness of thinking, all the Lights, all that Integrity and Purity of Heart, which we could wish to find in them.

The Propagators of the Christian Religion, must necessarily (it is faid) have been Men truly pious and knowing. But all such as have contributed any Thing, to the Propagation of Christianity, after the Apostles, must they needs have been such or only some of them? No one will offer to say the first: And if we leave only the last Part of the Question, I will ask, by what could we know, that this Privilege was reserved to such or such, rather than to others? Shall this be at the Time, in which they liv'd? But why must the Fathers

Ir

e

S

a

e

of the three or fix first Centuries, have needs been truly pious and knowing, rather than those of the tenth or eleventh? It feems, on the contrary, that to reason on the Principle of this Question, in Proportion as we were farther Distant from the Beginning of the Establishment of Christianity, its Propagators ought to have had more conspicuous Piety and Talents, to encrease more and more the Progress of this holy Religion, and to supply that which the Proofs of the Fact, which are the Foundation of its Truth, lofe of their Force, by the Distance of Time, in the Minds of Abundance of People, who are not capable of examining them as they ought to be. Will any one fay that these Propagators of the Christian Religion, who ought to have been truly pious and knowing, are the Doctors whose Writings we have? But why these, rather than a great Number of others, who have wrote nothing, or whose Writings are not come to our Hands? Besides, What is meant here by being truly pious and knowing? Would they say that all the Propagators of the Christian Religion, from the Time of the Apostles, must of Necessity have had a Piety and Knowledge, in Matters of Religion and Morality, as great and as exact as possible? Were not the Fathers fusceptible of some Weaknesses, some Passions, some Errors, some Portion of Ignorance? Must God have interpos'd in a miraculous Manner, to hinder them from being Men like others, and subject to the Failings of their Times, as well as to the Temptations of Circumstances they were in? Not to have so high an Opinion of them, as their bigotted Admirers, do we pretend that they were all Rascals, or that some among them were not truly pious, and knowing to a certain Point? If we maintain that they had not a just Turn

T

T

0

te

h

A

F

h

C

n

t

0

C

t

C

of thinking, that they have frequently us'd false Arguments, that they were utterly unacquainted with the Art of interpreting the Holy Scripture, and unfolding the Principles of Morality it contains; do we for all this deny, that they have retain'd the Foundations of Religion and Morality? If we fay, that by an Effect of human Frailty, they indulg'd themselves, some more, some less in Passions, and Actions, contrary to the Rules of the Gospel; do we in this pretend to penetrate into the Heart, or the Councils of God? Do we deny that divers of them might not, with all this, be truly pious and good Men, and that the divine Mercy may not have Regard to their good Intention, and the Sincerity of a general Repentance? Certainly we leave to God the Judgment of that which we cannot, nor ought not to decide: We only reserve to ourselves, the Right of charitable Judgments, for which we shall always have more Inclination, than for rash Condemnations. But we are not for this, oblig'd to call Evil Good: We shall always boldly blame that which is blameable, without respecting a Fault in Favour of the Person: And as we Praise, and propose heartily to the Imitation of every one, the good Actions and Vertues, which appear in the Life of any Father of the Church, fo we shall not disguise the bad Actions and Vices, which we can't help feeing, if we examine them, without Prepofferion.

But to come to the very Bottom of the Argument, which I am examining, besides that, as I have already hinted, and shall say more of hereafter, the Fathers were neither the only, nor the principal Propagators of the Christian Religion after the Apostles; this Argument carries in it at most but a plausible Reason. But probable Reasons,

Reasons, ordinarily not very solid, and that never go beyond a flight Probability, are perfectly ridiculous in an Affair like this. The Fathers, do they reason well or ill? Are they fallen in great Errors? Have they fuffer'd themselves to be led to vicious Actions and Pathons? Have they handled Morality exactly and fully? It is a Fact, we need only fee whether it be true or false. We have the Pieces, the Books of the Fathers, and the History of their Lives: Let us read, examine, and then judge. The Question is not, after what Manner we conceive Things ought to have been: The Matter in Hand is, after what Manner they have actually been. For, if the Fact be true, instead of concluding, as they would have us, that the Fathers must needs have been fuch as they represent 'em to us, for the Interest of the Christian Religion; I will infer on the contrary, that this was in no wife necessary. reason otherwise, is to imitate those of the Roman Communion, who, to prove that Transubstantiation is not a new Opinion and unknown to the first Ages, tell us gravely that it was not possible that this Opinion, should introduce itself into the Church, supposing it not to have been receiv'd from the Beginning. If with a profound Study of all the Subtilties of the Mathematicks, and an Affectation of being able to talk on all Sorts of Subjects, a Man should have his Mind no better turn'd, I am very much afraid, he would make the World take up a very bad Opinion of Algebra, and that vaft Circle of Science, which he fo much values himfelf upon.

Is it not true, for Example, that from the fecond Century, Victor P Bishop of Rome, caus'd much

Disturbance,

I

T

n it e

Eufeb: Hift. Ecclef, 1. 5. c. 27:

Disturbance, to maintain his Opinion upon this important Question, What Day ought to be celebrated for Easter? And that he excommunicated the Churches of Asia, because they celebrated that Feaft the 14th Day of the Moon of March, and not the Sunday after, as he would have it? The unshaken Fidelity, with which he stood by the Christian Religion, for which he even suffer'd Martyrdom, had not made him incapable of being warm about Trifles, and offending against the Spirit of Peace and Charity, which the Gospel so forcibly recommends. St. Irenaus wrote to him upon that Head, and very livelily cenfur'd his Proceeding. Will you have another Example of a Father of the Church, a Martyr likewise? See, in the 3d Century, the scandalous Broils, there were between St. Cyprian, Bishop of Carthage and Stephen, Bishop of Rome. The first had got it, to be decided in a Council, that fuch as had been baptiz'd by Hereticks should be rebaptiz'd. "Ste-" phen, q irritated, perhaps, that they had come to a Decision without first consulting him, was, on the contrary, of a very different Opinion. He " wrote St. Cyprian a Letter, which is loft, where " he rejected and condemn'd the Decisions of the " Council of Carthage; excommunicated all those " that had been present at it; and declar'd that "they ought to receive, without rebaptizing them, all fuch as would join themselves to the Church, " from whatfoever Herefy they came: Which " gave Birth to a troublesome Schism between the Churches of Africa, and that of Rome, At that Time, Pompey, Bishop of Sabrata, a City of Africa, entreated the Bishop of Carthage to

<sup>9</sup> St. Cyprian's Life, by le Clerc. Bibl. Univ. Tom. 12.

communicate to him the Opinion of Stephen; whereupon St. Cyprian sent him his Letter with a Confutation of it, where he is very far from " observing the Rules of Patience which he lays down in the Book, where he treats of that Vir-" tue : As Stephen, on his Part, had violated them after a most shameful Manner. We may see " from thence, that the Praises which our Martyr gives the Christians on that Head, at the Beginning of the same Book, where he says, that they do not boaft at all of their Virtues, at the " fame Time that he boafts extreamly of them, were of those Sorts of Praises which teach us rather what those ought to have been to whom they are given, than what they really were. " He charges Stephen with having wrote with Arrogance, and divers other Things that made nothing to the Subject; of having contradicted himself, and talk'd like an ignorant and filly " fellow. Of taking the Part of Hereticks, against the Church, and betraying it: All this " with extraordinary Warmth. It is true, he acts " alike in all his Disputes, where he speaks only " of Episcopal Discipline and Authority, without " fnewing much Good-Nature. And indeed it was " no more the Custom, in those Days, to dispute " with Moderation than it is at prefent. " In the Beginning of the Fourth Century, " "Eleven or Twelve Bishops met together at Cirtha " in the Year 305, where they reproached one the The greatest " other with enormous Crimes. " Part of them had given up the Scriptures to the " Pagans, to avoid Persecution, when a great Num-

n

0

n

le

at

n,

h,

en

ne.

ty

to

m

12.

Bibl. Univ. T. 24. p. 241. from Fleury's Ecclef. Hift. T. 2. p. 580, &c.

" Number of Lay Christians had suffered it with "Constancy: Others of them had themselves " thrown them into the Fire. One Purpurius of " Limata, was accused of having put to Death "Two of his Sifter's Children. Instead of excu-"fing himself, he answered boldly: As for me, " I have killed, and I do kill those that are against me: " Don't oblige me to say any Thing further : Te know " very well, that I care for no Man breathing. From " the Time that the Emperors began to be "Christians, Pleasures and Pastimes were intro-" duced into the Church, and nothing was to be " feen among the Ecclefiasticks, but Enmities " and Divisions. And because that the Bishops " were rich, and well look'd upon, all f manner " of Means were used to arrive at the Episcopacy; " and when it was obtained, the Bishop exercised " a tyrannical Authority. These Disorders en-" creafed every Day, till they came to the "Height at which we have feen them, as the "Learned Irish Archbishop Usher shews, in a "Treatise of his, cited in the Margin, by a great "Number of Passages of celebrated Authors, who " have left us frightful Pictures of the Corruption " of their Times." I have already cited Gregory of Nazianzum upon this Head, let us add here \* Sulpitius Severus, who lived in the same Century. "When he's speaking of the Manners of the " Ecclefiafticks in his Time, as in Book the First, " where, after having observed, that the Tribe

See of Damasus Amm. Marcell. 1. 27. c. -3.

t \$ 9. Let. e.

<sup>\*</sup> Uffer. de Eccles. &c. success. Bibl. Univ. Tom. 9. p. 5. &c. T. 23. p. 366, &c.

V Journ. de Trevoux. Edit. Amster. March & April. 1701. Supplem.

" of Levi had no Lot in the Division of Canaan, " as the others had, he says, That he will not pass " over this Example in Silence, but that he freely gives it to be read by the Ministers of Churches. For it " seems to me, adds he, that they have not only forgot that Precept, but that they even never knew it; fo great a Fonduess have they in these Times for Riches. a Distemper which has got the Masters of their Minds, " like a Plague! They \* pursue with Eagerness after Pof-" sessions, they beautify their Farms, they I lie upon "Gold, they fell, they buy, in all Things they fearch " after Gain. If there are any among them that secm " to have better Maxims, so that they neither possess " any Thing, nor Traffick; they do that which is much " more shameful, they expect Presents without doing any "Thing, and they difgrace themselves by taking Re-" wards, their Holiness being, as it were, set to Sale. "We may likewise see the impartial Manner in " which Sulpitius Severus relates the 2 Persecution " that was carried on against the Priscillianists, " towards the End of the Second Book of his Sa-" cred History; where he openly blames the Pride " and Cruelty of certain Spanish Bishops, who be-" gun to call upon the Secular Arm against those " People, and so managed Matters that some of " them were put to Death. In his first Dialogue " he likewise describes very clearly, the cruel " Treatment which Theophilus, Bishop of Alex-" andria, exercised against the Hereticks, and the " Haughtiness of the Ecclesiasticks of France."

x Cap. 23. Ed. Lipis. Bayle Rep. des Lettr. May 1684. p. 244, &c.

y Auro incubant, perhaps the Meaning of this is, that they love it so well they are continually looking on it. See Virg. Georg. 2. 507, En. 6. 610.

<sup>2</sup> Pacati Panerye, of Theodof. c. 27. Ed. Cellar.

..

60

60

23

46

66

"

66

..

66

66

23

23

60

46

Ectual be wi

Epil

One of the most famous Doctors of this Age, is St. Ferom, a Cholerick Man, if ever there was one. He had always extolled a Origen, without faying any thing of his Errors; whether it was that he did not think 'em very important, or because he thought they ought to be pardoned in him, on the Account of what was to be found good and uleful in his Writings. But when the Aians began to make use of the Authority of Oigen, and especially when John Bishop of Ferusalem who favoured the Opinions of the Catechat of Alexandria, had drawn upon himself the Hatred of St. Ferom; he inveighed, without Mercy, against Origen, whom before he had extolled to the Skies, and raised a violent b Persecution against the Origenists. Russinus, who had been a very particular Friend of St. Ferom's, having declared for Origen, and having alledged, in his Defence, the Praifes which St. Ferom had given him; our humble pacific Priest wrote against Russiaus, a Book full of Rage and Bitterness. The same Spirit reigns in his other Works, where he had to do with People whom he hated. St. Cyril, Patriarch of Alexandria, was, in the Opinion of the Abbot and Pin, " an ambitious violent Man, who only feeking to "inlarge his Authority, was no fooner raised to the Episcopal Dignity than by his own Autho-

Le Clerc. Quest. Hieron. Qu. 8. § 12.

In his Apology against Russin he boalts of it: Imperatorum quoque scripta, says he, quæ de Alexandria & Egypto Origenistas pelli jubent, me suggerente distata sunt: Ut Romanæ urbis positisex miro eos odio detestetur, meum consilium suit: Ut

torus Orbis, post translationem tuam, in Origenis odia exarferit, quem antea simpliciter lectitabat, meus operatus est stylus.

This is taken from the Abstract in Nouv. de le Rep. &c. b.

rity he drove out the Novatians, and despoiled " their Bishop of the Goods he enjoyed. He at-" tack'd the Jews in their Synagogues, at the " Head of h's Flock, took them away from them, " drove 'em out of Alexandria, and allowed the " Christians to plunder their Goods; founding " this Action, without doubt, on the holy Maxim " of the Bishop of Hippo, That every Thing belongs " to the Faithful, and that the wicked have no Right " to what they possess. St. Cyril had likewise a " Quarrel with Orestes, Governour of Alexandria, " upon whose Authority he was continually en-" croaching. Five Hundred Monks, supporting " their Bilhop, one Day surrounded the Governour, " wounded him with a Stone, and had killed him, " had not his Guards and the People stopped their " Fury. This Action cost one of the Monks his " Life, who was taken and died upon the Rack. " St. Cyril caused him to be regarded as a Saint. " A celebrated Pagan Philosophess, named Hypatia, " was the Victim which the Partisans of the Bi-" shop facrificed to the Manes of their Martyr. " She was cruelly torn in pieces, because she was " accused of having incensed the Governour against " the Prelate.

Would any one know what Sort of People the Ecclesiasticks were in the Fifth and sollowing Centuries? An d Author, who cannot with any Justice be suspected of meaning any ill to the Fathers, will inform us. "The Sects, says be, (of the "Nestonians and Eutychians) sprung partly from "Laziness and Superstition, partly from private" Resentments, and from the Envy and Malice

" of

<sup>&</sup>quot; Dissertat. Histor. Rotterdam 1707. p. 8, 9. M. le Clerc. Epist. Eccl. & Crit. p. 167, &c.

46

..

61

66

66

40

..

44

..

66

T

of

a

m

ti

M

w

an

gr

an of

m

uj

" of the Ecclefiaflicks, gave the finishing Stroke " to Persecution in Matters of Religion. It is " true, that this e persecuting Spirit was al-" ready sprung up: But it had not as yet " exercised its Tyranny, with all the Cruelties " with which it was accompanied fince that un-" fortunate Age, when Men divided themselves " about Opinions, in which there might be some-" thing real, but concerning which it would have been " very easy to have agreed, if the Spirit of Christianity had presided in Ecclesiastical Assemblies. From " that Time, nothing was to be seen in the East " but Proscriptions, Massacres, and Outrages. See " how a Bishop, of the Fifth Century, who was " persecuted for Nestorianism, speaks of it: " I pass " f over in Silence, says he, the Chains, Dungeons, " Confiscations, Marks of Infamy, Massacres deserv-" ing the highest Compassion, the Enormity of which is " so great, that even such as have had the Misfortunes to be Witnesses of them, can scarce believe them " credible. All these Tragedies were played by the Bi-" shops.—— Among them audaciousness passes for a " Mark of Courage; they call their Cruelty Zeal, and " their Knavery is bonoured with the Name of Wisdom. " This continually encreased from that Time. "The Emperor Justinian would not be behind " hand in Zeal with the Prelates of the Fifth and Sixth Centuries. He did not think himself, " says Procopius, & guilty of Murder, when those be " condemned to die, made Profession of another Reli-" gion than his. The World in these Unfortunate Ages, faw the most frightful Cruelties practifed. " Sieges

. Amm. Marcell. 1. 22. c. 5. p. 233.

8 Anecdor. p. 60: Ed. Alemanni.

Etherius Epis Tyanorum inter opera Theodoriti, T. J. p. 628, 689.

" Sieges were held out in Monasteries; Battles " fought in Councils; Men entered with armed Force into Churches; all those were treated b " with the utmost Cruelty, who were suspected of favouring Opinions, which often were underfood by none, not even by those who defended them with the greatest Stiffness and Obstinacy." these great Lights of the Church, these holy Fathers, that are put upon us as Men truly pious and knowing."

But the principal Question here is of the right Turn of Mind, Solidity of Thought, and Extent of Knowledge of these Fathers. Allow me to apply to the Fathers, the following Verses of a modern French Satyrist, who has been dead some

time.

e

is

1-

et

25

1-

es

2.

n

i-

n

ft

ee

IS

S

15,

1)-

25

es

772

i-

a

nd

n. e.

d

b f,

be

li-

te

1.

es

5.

Qu'on vante en eux l'honneur, la foi, la probité, Qu'on prise leur candeur et leur sincerité, Qu'ils aient eu quelquefois une humeur debonnaire. On le veut, j'y souscris, et suis prêt de me taire : Mais que comme un modèle on vante leurs Ecrits. Qu'on le fasse passer pour de fort bons Esprits, Comme aux Rois des Auteurs, qu'en leur donne l'Empire. Ma bile alors s'échauffe, & je brûle d'ecriré, &c.

The Instances I have cited above, of the gross Mistakes, and false. Thoughts of the Fathers; that which I have faid of their Works, where one finds an infinite Number of Lownesses, cited with great Elogiums by the bigotted Admirers of these ancient Doctors of the Church; the great Number of like Passages, which divers Authors have remark'd and cenfured as Occasion i offered: All this

would

h Eutychii Annales, p. 155.

i See the Reflections of Phereponus (Mr. le Clerc) on St. Auustin in the Appendix Augustin.

would dispense with me from alledging any However, I shall here give a small Specimen, by which one may judge, at first Sight, what was the Turn of Thinking that prevailed in those Times.

JUSTIN Martyr, in the 5th k Chapter of his First Apology, fays, that the bad Damons formerly ap. peared, that they committed Adultery with Women, defiled Boys, &c. All this founded only on Genes. 6. 4. misinterpreted. The most ancient Fathers likewise vented this Opinion, as certain, one after the other, as a learned Editor of this Father has observed. Justin finds the Cross m in the Sails and Masts of Ships, in Ploughs, Mattocks, &c.

St. Irenaus, in the Opinion of Photius, " bas corrupted, by strange trisling Reasonings, the Simplicity and friet Truth of the Opinions of the Church. "What is there o more poor, for Example, than " the Reasons he uses to prove that there are " four Gospels, because there are four principal " Regions of the World, the East, the West, the " South, and the North; or because that the " Building of the Church is founded on the Gospel, " and that there must be four Pillars to support " a Building. Theophylast has not succeeded better, "when he fays, that it is because the Gospel teaches us the four Cardinal Virtues; or because that the Gospel contains Doctrines, Precepts, Promises, and Threatnings. Don't the five " Books

k See le Clerc. Bibl. Chois. T. 2. p 335, 336.

1 Grabe Spicileg. patr. &c. See also Petavii Dogm. Theol-Tom. 3. Tract. de Angelis 1. 3. c. 2.

m r Apol. 1. 72. Bibl. Chois. T. 3. Art. 8. & Bibl. Univ. T. 6. p. 22, &c.

n Cod. 120.

Bernard. Nouv. de la Rep. des Lettr. Decemb. 1703. p.635

4

18

rs

as ad

ity

b.

re

al

he he

el,

ort

er,

nel nel

ts,

ve ks

eol.

iv.

35.

Books of Moses contain the same Things? St. Maximus and Theophanes seem to have succeeded " still worse, when they advance that there were but four Gospels, because there were but four Elements. Mr. Fabricius has Reason to say, that if the e were five, three, or a hundred Gospels, there would not have been wanting as good " Reasons why there were no more, or no leis. Such Lownesses as these, reflect Disgrace on Humane Reason. They might perhaps pais in Conversation, where one does not always ma-" turely confider what one fays; but when one meets with them written feriously, in Works composed for the Public, and to be handed " down to Posterity, the least one can do, in my " Opinion, to revenge ones felf on the Authors " who have obliged us to read fuch Things, is to " take the Liberty to disapprove of them. " St. Cyprian, P when he treats of Ecclesiastical " Discipline, is continually citing the Passages of " the Old Testament, as well as of the New, where " one meets with the Latin Word Disciplina, with-" out having any Regard to Circumstances. See " here the Reasoning he uses against Lucian, (a " Priest and Martyr of Carthage, who was for re-" ceiving into the Communion of the Church fuch had funk under Perfecution, without obliging them to pass through all the Degrees " of Penitence:) The Lord baving commanded to " baptize the Nations in the Name oof the Father, " of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost, and declared, " that their past Sins are pardoned in Baptism; this " Man knowing neither the Commandments, nor the " Laws, commands us to give Peace, and pardon Sin

P Epist. 27. Bibl. Univ. T. 12. p. 254.

" in the Name of Paul. It is easy to see, that " there is an infinite Difference between the " Pardon which God grants to Sins committed " before Baptism received in his Name, and " remitting certain Ecclesiastical Penalties by the "Authority of a Martyr, who commands it. In " his Treatise of the Unity of the 4 Church, " maintains, that the Indivisibility of the Church " was figured out by our Lord's Garment, without " a Seam -----He fays, that Men ought to be " Ilberal to the Poor, because that, as by Baptism " we obtain the Pardon of all the Sins we have " committed before our being baptized, by virtue " of the Blood of Jesus Christ, so by Alms we ex-" piate those committed after Baptism .- When his " endeavouring to overthrow the false Excuses, " which are fometimes made, to be exempted " from giving Alms, he relates that of those who " fay, that the Multitude of their Children does " not allow them to be fo liberal as they could " wish to be. He answers to that, that the more " Children one has, the more one ought to give, " because that one ought to expiate the Sins, pu-" rify the Conscience, and deliver the Souls of a " greater Number of Persons. St. Ferom, " f who recommends Celibacy as much " as he can, and who, after the Manner of Orators, often makes Arrows of all Sorts of Wood, uses this fine Reason against Jovinian : Calibes,

" says he, quod cælo digni sunt, inditum nomen; This
"Name was given them, because they are worthy of
"Herror". If way would see a Hear of Sophisme

" Heaven." If you would fee a Heap of Sophisms,

9 Ibid. p. 283.

p. 197, 205.
f Rep. des Lettr. May 1702. p. 502.

and false Reasonings, that prove nothing, or that prove that Marriage is in itself Criminal, you need only read the rest of this Book against Fovimian, and that which he wrote against Helvidius : in which he attacks fecond Marriages. He boafts himself, in the last Work, of acting the Rhetorician and the Declaimer: Rhetoricati sumus, & in morem Declamatorum paululum lusimus. In another Place he brags v of Writing with great Precipitation, and without giving himself the Trouble to think much upon his Commentaries. Accordingly he often contradicts himself. He dares own, without Disguise, that in his w polemical Works, he only aimed at answering his Adversaries, and puzzling them, without troubling himfelf whether what he said were true or not. He justifies this Conduct of his by the Example of Origen. Methodius, Eusebius, Apollinarius, and other Apologifts of the Christian Religion, who, according to him, did the same against the Pagans; making use of very doubtful and problematical Arguments, and maintaining not what they thought, but what the Interest of the Dispute required. Further, he pretends that he only imitates Jesus Christ, and St. Paul, who maintained, as he pretends, both Sides of the Question, according as it was convenient for them.

The great St. Augustin alone, is able to furnish out Matter sufficient to compose a large Volume of Lownesses: I shall content my self with setting down two Examples. Explaining that Passage of Genesis 3. 14. where the Latin Version, which he

I 2 followed,

Le Clerc. Quæft. Hieronym. 8.

<sup>&</sup>quot; Comm. in Abdiam, at the End, and Præfat. 1. 2. in Epist. ad Ephes.

w This Passage is cited at large in Sentim, de quelque, Theolog. d'Hollande, p. 368, 369.

as

ene

of

kn

Ex

w

CO

ve

Fa

W

2718

ki

Da

m

di

an

de

ly

go

m

fee

th

th

be

in

to

lic

fif

F

in

06

followed, had it, as the Septuagint, Thou shalt go upon thy Breast, and upon thy Belly, all the Days of thy Life: By the Breaft, fays he, x is to be understood Pride; by the Belly, the Defires of the Flesh; and by that which is added, Thou shalt eat the Dust, is meant Curiofity, which enters only into Things temporal and earthly. By Curiofity, he understands Avarice: And thus it is that he draws Moral Reflections from the Scripture. His Remark upon the Title of the Pfalms is very pleasant. " The y Copiers did not use to put before the first Ffalm, Pfalmus primus, as is done " now, probably because they thought it un-" necessary, fince one could not mistake, seeing "it at the Beginning of the Book, and followed " by the second Pfalm. St. Augustine finds out a " much more mysterious Reason." As this Pfalm, fays he, introduces God himself speaking, for that Reason it has no Title, for fear any Thing should be preferred to the Word of God, or that be should be called First, who has not been called First, but One: And thus it could not, and ought not to have any Title, for fear, if it bad the Title of First, it might be thought the best, only from the Order of Number, and not from its own Authority. Or, adds he, as we beve already faid, least it should be thought that the Pfalmist preferr'd any Thing to the Word of God, if he bad put a Title before this Pfalm; for if it had been called the first, it might have been understood, preferably to others. Thus it is the only one, concludes he, that has no Title, that fo it may manifestly appear, bow much it is distinguished above others. " Pray try if you can make the Con-" clusion agree with the Premises.

Sentim. de quelq. Theol. d'Holl. p. 362.

<sup>\*</sup> L. 2. de Genesi, contra Manich. C. 17. See Observat. Hall. T. 4. Obs. 5.

I am weary of transcribing so many Things. as tedious, as they are injudicious. There is enough, already to paint to the Life, the Character of the Authors we are treating of, and to let us know ex ungue Leonem. I have defignedly chosen Examples, that have been already produc'd, and which are to be met with in Books, that are very common. We shall see from thence, that it does not very well become our zealous Defenders of the Fathers, to infift upon our taking it on their Words, that they were Men truly pious and knowing, against so many Proofs, known by all Mankind, which make it as clear as the Sun at Noon Day, that their Vertues in general were very middling, and mix'd with great Failings, those of divers of them even very suspected of Hypccrify; and as to what regards the Qualities of their Understanding, that it was often false and disorderly; that they had much more Imagination than good Sense; that they were destitute of a great many necessary Assistances to encrease and perfect their Knowledge, and that they a neglected those they had in their Power, or might procure themselves; that thus b their Knowledge could be but very bounded; in a Word, that the most ingenious of them, are in nothing comparable to the Authors of our Age, neither for their Solidity, Stile, Order, or Method. Can one confiftently with Candour and Sincerity, regard the Fathers as Men very judicious and very knowing, after all we have cited from their Works? The

a See les Lettr. Crit. & Eccles. de M. le Clerc. Ep. 4 p. 107. &c. and the Bibl. Chois. Tom. 11. p. 102. &c.

b The very learning of those that pass for the most learn'd, was very common, and cost them very little, as has been very justly observ'd by M. Bernard, Rep. des Lettr. March 1699. p. 269.

The most exact and most Understanding Genius may be deceiv'd, I own, and give into fome false Thoughts: But it will have at least some Plaufibleness, and not happen often; and I maintain that a Man of moderate good Sense, will never fall into the Excesses, into which almost all the Fathers have gone. None but such as are capable of the like Lownesses, or strangely blinded by a Spirit of Party, can so highly extol those that have utter'd them. Unfortunately for them, we don't live in an Age, in which one may act thus with Impunity. The public is no longer the Dupe of these Sorts of grave Affirma. tions, destitute of Proofs, and on the contrary bely'd by an infinite Number of decifive Reasons. presented to the View of the World. It is bantering and flattering ones felf in a very groß Manner, to imagine, that without fo much as having attempted to disprove Facts so certain as those which we have advanc'd, one can ward off the Charge by fuch a pitiful Argument as this: If the Christian Religion had not for its Propagators, Men truly pious and knowing, what Opinion ought one to bave of it?

-

60

"

"

66

66

46

66

13

66

66

23

if

on

We are not oblig'd to give the Reasons of God's Conduct, of what he does, or what he does not; of what he permits, or what he conducts by the hidden Ways of his Providence. Instead of saying: Such or such a Thing, is contrary to the Designs of God, or to his Vertues; therefore God could not do or suffer it; we believe, and have good Ground for it, that we should reason thus: God has done or suffer'd such or such a Thing, therefore there is nothing in it, contrary to his Designs, or to his Vertues, although our weak and bounded Understanding, does not always see clearly how to make this agree with the Views and infinite Persections

18

ie

10

I e,

1-

h

e-

X-

y

ne

10

a·y

ıs,

n-

11-

ng

se!

he

:

rs,

216

l's

t; he

y-)e-

ıld

od

od

to n-

to te ns Perfections of this fovereign Being: Nevertheless, as we are not forbid to look into, and modeftly propose the Reasons he might have had, not to interpose in an extraordinary Manner, to prevent certain Things; if we will a little give the Reins to our Thought in a Matter of Fact of which we're disputing, we shall eafily discover sufficient to satisfy ourselves, and at the same Time, wherewithal to stop the Mouths of those, who would have it that God acts ac-If, " on this Side the cording to their Fancy. Apostles, we find nothing but what is very indifferent, and very confusd, in this first " Origine of Christianity, it is in all Probability, as Mr. le Clerc c has very well observ'd, that " none might be able to fay in the Ages to come, " that there were at that Time, Genius's capa-" ble of forming such a Religion as is the Chri-" stian, and to counterfeit Books to its first Au-" thors; fince we fee nothing, after them, that comes near their Writings. Not only the Heads of other Sects, that fprung up in those Times, " but likewise those that profess'd to follow the Apostles only, don't come near the Manner of " Writing of those holy Men. Nevertheless in this Manner of Writing, there is so much Simplicity and Nature, if we may so speak, that one fees clearly from thence, that they are not themselves the Inventors of that which they tell us, but that they were beholden, for all that they knew, to Jesus Christ, and to the Spirit which they had received." Certainly, if we confider the Books of the New Testament, on the Side of the Manner of reasoning, no one

<sup>6</sup> Bibl. Chois. Tom. 4. p. 356. 357.

of the Fathers of the Church, made as they were. could ever have compos'd Works, in which good Sense reigns throughout, as we see it in the Gospels, and the Epistles of the Apostles. We may fay, too, that God, by permitting the Fathers of the Church to be so incorrect in their Writings, and often fo very irregular in their Conduct, defign'd to shew that the Christian Religion. which was to continue to the End of the World. supports itself by itself, notwithstanding the small Understanding and Sanctity of those who ought to have been the great Supports of it. If there was any Thing in it, contrary to the Wisdom of God, or which was capable of doing Hurt to the Christian Religion; it might be prov'd, from the same Principle, that there could not be under Christianity, Ages of a general Ignorance and Corruption, especially among the Clergy, as those that preceded the Reformation; and thus our zealous Defenders of the Fathers, would have as good a Foundation to maintain against the Faith of History, that those Ages, were not such as they are generally believ'd to have been.

But utterly to confound those that are not asham'd to use a miserable Argument, in which is not to be found the least Shadow of Reason, on whatsoever Side you examine it; let us add in a few Words three or four Reslections. The first is, that neither Jesus Christ, nor his Apostles, have either said, or any where given us to understand, that such as were to be look'd upon after them, as Propagators of the Christian Religion, must need be Men truly pious and knowing. The Parable of the Tares, sown by the Enemy; and that of the

d Matth. 13, 24 &c. See les Epist. Crit. & Eccl. de M. le Clerc. p. 121, 122. &c. and his Treatise of Incredulity p. 189.

re,

od

of-

ay

ers

it-

et,

on,

ld.

all

ht

ere

of

he

om

ler

nd

ose

ur

as

ith

as

ot

15

on

1 2

18,

ive

nd,

m,

eds

ot

Vet,

. le

89.

Net, that takes all Sorts of Fish; shew sufficiently, that in the Christian Church, the Wicked should be mix'd with the Good, that so these might not be uppermost, and appear with more Advantage than others. Our Lord foretold the Divisions, which would be produc'd by the Ignorance, vain Subtilties, Rashness, Presumption, and the Passions of the Propagators of his Religion. And St. Paul affures f us, That there must be Herefies, that they which are approved, may be made manifest; that is to fay, that so a Mind free from Prejudices, and which does not judge of Things by the Appearance, might differn those that are Truly and Sincerely attach'd to Truth and Vertue. When the Apostles speak to us, of that which was to happen in the Church, they express themselves after such a g Manner, as to give us Ground to think, that the Ecclefiafticks should not be ordinarily the Men in the World, the most Pious and the most Knowing. Even in the Time of the Apostles, there were Firebrands and false Doctors, to whose Rashness, and seducing, those holy Men were oblig'd to oppose themfelves. In those Days flourish'd one Diotrephes, h a vain ambitious Man, who spoke ill of St. John, who would not receive thosewhom that holy Apostle held Brethren, but cast them out of the Church.

The second Remark I have to make is, that, in the first Ages, as well as in the following, those that made the greatest Noise in the Church, and who had the greatest Reputation, were not always those that had the most good Sense, Knowledge, and Vertue. At that Time, as at present, it was not ordinarily Merit, that rais'd to the K

<sup>\*</sup> See Luke 6. 51. f 1 Cor. 11. 19. 8 See 2 Theff. 2. 3. &c. 1 Tim. 4, 1. &c. 2 Peter 3, 3. Jude v. 18. h 2 Epo of St. John v. 9, 10.

i See what Gregory of Nazianzum, says in his Time, in his Life publish'd by M. le Clerc. Bibl. Univ. Tom. 18. p. 56, 89, 92, 119

highest Ecclesiastical Dignities. Thus, notwithstanding the bad Tastes and bad Manners, that reign'd more or less in every Age, we cannot in the least doubt, that there were both among the People, and among the Clergy, Men more judicious, more knowing, more wife, more reasonable, and more pious, than those whose Names and Writings, are celebrated among us. I leave People to judge, to whom of the two, the Name of Propagator of the Christian Religion is most justly due, whether to those we know, or to those we know nothing of, because that the others, took care to hinder them from appearing in their Time, and that their Memory should not pass to Posterity with Advantage. Among the last, some through Timorousness, others through Prudence, or the Impossibility they found of succeeding, were loth to engage with Men stronger than themselves: And if any one dar'd to oppose the Doctors, that had gain'd the Admiration of the Populace, they were foon made to repent their Rashnels: Witnels the Affair of Vigilantius with St. Ferom.

I observe in the third Place, that with Respect to the Interests of the Christian Religion, we stand in no need of the Works of Ecclefiaftical Antiquity, but as so many Historical Pieces, which prove that which pass'd, and that which was believ'd in the Time of each Writer. An Author, k whom I have already cited, acknowledges it expressly: When the Protestants, says he, confult the Fathers and the Councils, they use that Study only to learn the History of Opinions, and to trace out the Beginnings of Error, very far from looking there, for the Foundations of their Faith. So that it is ridiculous, to cry out after this, that all is loft, if we have not a great Veneration for the Fathers, and if we speak freely good or ill of them. The very Divisions, which their Ignorance, their Passions, and their Subtilties,

have

k Diff. Historiques, &c. p. 217.

th-

in

he li-

a-

es

ne t-

ſe

s,

ir

Ís

t,

have produc'd in the Church, are of no small Use to assure us, that no considerable Corruption is crept into the Holy Scripture, since that one Party would not have fail'd to have reproach'd the other with it.

My forth and last Remark is, that notwithstanding the little Exactness of the Fathers, which led them into divers Errors, notwithstanding their very great Fondness of vain Subtilties, and which made them neglect Things more Necessary; the fundamental Doctrines of Religion, and Morality, were preferv'd among 1 Christians, in the most dark and wicked Ages. If there have been divers false Things added and mix'd if they have not been unfolded, and push'd all their Lengths, is this the Fault of the Gospel? But in short, Providence has boldly justify'd itself, if we may so speak, in the Eyes of all who without any Reason, throw upon it the Negligence and bad Tafte of Men. God has rais'd up Men, who have introduc'd a better Manner of Study and Reasoning. We learn every Day more and more, to rightly interpret the Holy Scripture, and to treat of Morality, as it ought to be treated. A good Taste gains Ground, and there is Reason to hope, that in Time it will make confiderable Progress. Perhaps a Time may come, in which the Fathers and your fond Admirers, will be efteem'd according to your Deferts.

But it is Time to end this long Digression. I thought it necessary, once for all, entirely to destroy the only subterfuge that was left for those who boast themselves of having desended the Fathers. Let us now take up again the Thread of our History of Morality. After that which we have said of the little Care the Doctors of the Church of the first Six Centuries took to cultivate

K 2 it

See M. le Clerc. de eligenda inter Christianos Dissentientes Sentutia, at the End of the last Edition of Grotius de ver. Rele Christ. S. 7.

ti

P

0

p

of

an

C

p

n

la

W

to

C

St

pu th au hi

it, it would be superfluous to run over the following Ages, in which Ignorance and Corruption increafing more and more, arrived at length to fuch a Pitch, that they scarce left any Spark of good Sense and Vertue, especially among the Ecclefiafricks. To fay nothing of the great Number of ridiculous Superstitions, and the prodigious Idolatry which entirely disfigur'd Christianity: Thoufands of detestable Maxims were establish'd worthy the Darkness of those unfortunate Ages. The Bishop of Rome, in caused himself to be regarded as invested with a Power to depose Kings, whom he should judge to be Hereticks, and to absolve their Subjects from the Oath of Fidelity. An " Italian, named John Giglis, o or des Lis (de Lilis) who was made Bishop of Worcester, by the Airthority of the Pope, received at the same time a Right to pardon all Sorts of Crimes, and to allow People to retain the Goods of another, after what Manner foever they were acquired, provided they gave fome Part to the Pope's Commissaries or his

The Light of the Reformation re-established considerably among Protestants, Purity of Doctrine and Practice. But the Reformers themselves, and their Successors, have they always followed the Spirit of Christianity, and of the Reformation? The frightful Doctrine of Persecution for the Sake of Religion, has it not been maintained in two express Treatises, one of Calvin, p the other of Beza? And did not Calvin actually put his Principles

in The Canon of the Council of Lateran held at Rome, is translated in Bibl. Univ. T. 9: p. 39. See also Tom. 11. p. 387. and M de-Pin de le puissance Eccles. & Temporelle, 1707. Bee Seckendorf Comm. Hist. & Apolog. de Latheranism, &c. l. 1.

See Wharton's Anglia Sacra Supplem. ad Hift. Eccl. Vigorn.
P Fidelis expositio errorum Mich. Serveti, & brevis eorundem
ref tatio. Ubi docerur sure gladii coercendos esse Hareticos.

Lipfins, in answer to some Protestant who had exclaimed against the Opinion

ciples in Practice on the Occasion of Servetus? Have we as yet, in these Times, been able to draw from abundance of People, (who have themselves felt, for fo long Time, and in fo many Shapes, the fatal Effects of Perfecution) a very express Acknowledgment, that all Persecution, all Molestation, great or little, direct or indirect, for the Sake of Religion, is a true Tyranny? Have we not feen Persons, who have dared to attribute the Progress of Christianity to this Manner of converting People, and who have maintained, that r Paganifm, would be standing at this Day, and that three Quarters of Europe would be fill Pagans, had Constantine and his Successors, used all their Authority to abolish Christianity. Are there not others that revive the pernicious Maxim of St. Augustine, that fuch as do not believe in Jesus Christ, cannot be esteemed lawful Possessors of the Goods of the Earth? If we confider that few good Books of Morality are to be met with, especially in our Language, in Comparison of that infinite Number of Books of Controverfy that overflow Libraries, and Bookfellers Shops, we shall readily conclude, that the Study of Morality is very much neglected. The public Discourses appear not very instructive in this Respect; and, if any one doubt of it, see here authentic Testimonies which may fully convince him. Mr. La Placette, Pastor of the French Church

Opinion of the Punishment of Hereticks, which he maintains in his Politicks, and in his Treatise de una Religione, did not fail to retort, by alledging the Affair of Servetus, and citing a Passage of Bezz, where it is expressly said. That it is more absurd to pretend that one ought not to punish Hereticks, than it would be to maintain that we ought to let sacrilegious Persons and Parricides go impunished; Hereticks, adds he, are infinitely more wicked than any of those Wretches. See the Life of Lipsius, by Aubert's Mire, T. I. of the Works of that great Critick, p. 16. Edit. Vesal. Droits des deux Souverains, &c. p. 286. See Dict. de M. Bayle T. I. p. 425, 426. Edit. 2. Remark H.

See P. Molin Anatom. Arminianismi, c. 32. Dist. 18. Maccov. Distinct. c. 3. § 18. Theol. quest. loc. 25. quest. 19. Voëtius, &c.

at Copenhagen, in his Treatife of Restitution, introduces People speaking, who being loft, for having neglected that important Duty, will complain of their Preachers at the Day of Judgment, " We might have done in the following Terms: " very well, without so many vain Speculations, " formany frivolous Enquiries, so many abstracted " Questions, so many useless Disputes upon Mat-" ters in which we had no Concern, and which " have made up the principal Matter of your " Sermons. We don't see any body that is "damn'd, for not having known a Hundred " Things which you have taught us with Accu-" racy and Earnestness, which you might have " spared your selves. But we are in this mise-" rable State for having neglected our Duty, of " which you never told us one Word. You have " let us approach the Table of the Lord, without " telling us, that it was coming unworthily, and " taking our Condemnation, to come without ha-" ving beforehand emptied our Hands and our " Coffers, of all that we had wrongfully ac-" quired. You have told us of the Mercy of God. "You have press'd us to implore it with all our " Heart, and with a lively Confidence, without " telling us one Word of the Impossibility there is " in obtaining the Effects, whilst we perfist in In-"justice, and of Consequence in Impenitence, as " we doubtless do, when we neglect to restore that " which we have wrongfully taken. In a Word, " you have left us ignorant of these capital Truths at the Time when they might have been of Ser-" vice to us, and you are the Cause that we learn " them but now, when they only serve to render " us inexcufable, and convince us that we justly " perish." Mr. Oftervald, Pastor of Neufchattel in Switzerland, makes a like Acknowledgment. See it here, fuch as I find it related by a third Minister,

"

..

..

23

.

Se

ab

the

Un

Co

P. 51, 52. See alfo p. 147.

d

t-

r

15

d

1-

e-

of

re ut

br

a-

ur

IC-

od.

nr

ut

is

In-

as

nat

rd,

er-

der

tly

in

eit

ter,

Mr.

Mr. v Bernard, that learned and judicious Continuator of the w Nouvelles de le Republique des Lettres. Ignorance, Jays he, concerning the Duties of Chri-" stianity, is both very general, and very great. " There are some which an infinite Number of Peo-" ple never think of at all. The Author instances, " for Example, the Duty of Restitution: He tells " us, that Mr. la Placette having publish'd, some "Time ago, a Treatise upon that Subject, the Book " was read as a fingular Book, the Subject of which " was new and curious, and that there were fome "who treated that Dectrine of Restitution, as a new " and very severe Doctrine. - Some there are, that " pretend that Morality ought not to be fo much in-" fifted on, that some Allowances ought to be made " to Human Nature; whilft they strongly infift upon " speculative Opinions, and even upon some that " are not very important. There are some who have gone fo far as to fay, that it was dangerous to in-" fift so much upon Morality, that the so doing is a " Mark of Herefy. Divines have dared to publish " Books, in which they feem to have attempted to "decry good Works. Ought one to be furprized, " that People led by fuch Conductors, give them-" felves no more Trouble to practife them ?-"The Doctors appointed to teach Religion, quarrel " upon very useless Questions; and, whilst the Pa-"ftor is bufy in his Study, or in the Pulpit, to con-"fute an Adversary whom he never saw, or to " combate an Error which is unknown to his Flock, " the Sheep lose themselves, his Hearers continue prepoffessed with the most Mortal Errors concerning Morality, and engaged in their bad Habits." See here the Depositions of unsuspected irreproachable Witnesses. I wish I could say, to the Praise of those to whom these just Reproaches are directed,

that

<sup>&</sup>quot; Mr. Bernard late Professor of Philosophy and Mathematicks in the University, and Pastor of the Walloon Church at Leyden.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Novemb. 1699. Account of the Book entitled, des Source de la Corruption, p. 582, 583, 585.

that they begin to open their Eyes, and alter their Method. But I fear very much, that the greatest Part of them have been already a long Time under this Prepossession, so contray to the Obligations of their Ministry, that an ardent Zeal for speculative Opinions, which don't cost much to understand, and in the Support of which they find their Account, dispenses with their applying themselves to a serious Study of Morality, which requires profound Meditation, and the Knowledge of x more than common Places. This would be a good Deed, if they would let alone those who do their best in what they themselves ought to do. But their Predecessors have fet'em an Example, and they are unwilling to degenerate. In Reality, who was it that introduced, in the past Age, the methodical Study of the Law of Nature and first undertook to give a System of this fo vast and so necessary a Science? They were not Ecclefiasticks, or Divines by Profession; It was the illustrious Grotius, whose Memory will always be bleffed, upon this Head, by all fincere Lovers of Truth and Vertue; though he had not acquired to himself an immortal Reputation, by a great many Performances of another Nature, all excellent in their Kind. Nevertheless, when that admirable Treatise of the Law of War and Peace (De Jure belli & pacis) appeared, the Ecclefiasticks, instead of thanking the Author for it, took up Arms against him: And he was not only put in the Index Expurgaterius of the Roman Catholic Inquisitors, (I should not be surprized at that) but likewise divers Protestant Divines endeavoured to run it down. fame Thing happened to the Book I am here giving you the Translation of. The Jesuits of Vienna caused it to be prohibited; and it was not the Fault of divers Protestant Divines of Sweden and Germany, that this excellent Work did not every where meet with the fame Lot.

<sup>\*</sup> See M. Bernard's Reflections in his Rep. des Lettr. April 1706.
Art. 1 which drew upon him a fenfeless Libel from a little French Minister.

F I N I S.

eir teft der sof ive and int, eri- ind mey nat sof to ed, of his not he be of to min he be elli of the int.